

How are Residents of Oxford County *Really* Doing?

A Summary of Results from the 2025 CIW Community Wellbeing Survey

Submitted to:

Safe and Well Oxford

Submitted by:

Canadian Index of Wellbeing
Faculty of Health
University of Waterloo

August 2025



Citation for report:

Smale, B. (2025). *How are residents of Oxford County really doing? A Summary of Results from the 2025 CIW Community Wellbeing Survey*. Waterloo, ON: Canadian Index of Wellbeing and the University of Waterloo.

© 2025 Canadian Index of Wellbeing

Canadian Index of Wellbeing
Faculty of Health
University of Waterloo
Waterloo, Ontario
Canada N2L 3G1

For more information: www.ciw.ca



@ciwnetwork

Contents

What is Wellbeing?	1
Introduction	3
Weighting of data	3
Reading the report	6
Conceptual Definitions of the CIW Domains	8
Demographic Profile	11
Community Vitality	20
Volunteering and Supporting Others	20
Size of Close Social Networks	23
Sense of Community	24
Feelings of Loneliness	29
Experience of Discrimination	31
Confidence in Institutions	34
Perceptions of Safety	36
Experience of Intimate Partner Violence (IPV)	36
Healthy Populations	40
Self-Assessed Physical and Mental Health	40
Perceptions of the Quality and Accessibility of Health Care Services	41
Perceived Quality	41
Perceived Accessibility	42
Access to Health Care Professionals	43
Negative Impacts Experienced due to Mental Health Issues	43
Negative Impacts Experienced due to Substance Use Issues	44
Health-Related Behaviours	45
Democratic Engagement	46
Participation in Democratic Activities	46
Feelings of Influence on Government	48
Environment	51
Perceptions of Environment	51
Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities	54
Residents' Anxiety about Climate Change	58
Leisure and Culture	60
Participation in Physically Active Leisure	60
Team Sports	60
Individual Sports	61
Vigorous Exercise	62
Light Exercise	63
Social Leisure Activities	64
Home-Based Leisure Activities	66
Arts and Cultural Leisure Activities	68
Social and Traditional Media Engagement	70
Primary Purpose for Using Social Media	74
Time Spent Using Social and Other Traditional Media	77

Use of Community Recreation and Cultural Facilities	78
Perceived Accessibility of Community Recreation and Cultural Facilities.....	83
Perceptions of the Leisure Experience	86
Education	92
Participation in Educational Opportunities	92
Perceptions of Educational Opportunities.....	93
Living Standards	96
Working for Pay and Its Characteristics.....	96
Perceptions of Job Fit and Security	98
Work-Life Balance	101
Experiences of Financial Insecurity	106
Housing Security	110
Satisfaction with Current Place of Residence	110
Time Use	115
Time Providing Support to Others	115
Time Pressure and Time Adequacy.....	116
Perceptions of Time Adequacy	117
Taking a Vacation	123
Overall Wellbeing	126
Satisfaction with Aspects of Wellbeing	126

What is Wellbeing?

There are many definitions of wellbeing. *The Canadian Index of Wellbeing* has adopted the following as its working definition:

The presence of the highest possible quality of life in its full breadth of expression focused on but not necessarily exclusive to: good living standards, robust health, a sustainable environment, vital communities, an educated populace, balanced time use, high levels of democratic participation, and access to and participation in leisure and culture.



COMMUNITY
VITALITY



EDUCATION



HEALTHY
POPULATIONS



LIVING
STANDARDS



DEMOCRATIC
ENGAGEMENT



ENVIRONMENT



LEISURE AND
CULTURE



TIME USE

Introduction

The Oxford County Community Wellbeing Survey was launched by Safe and Well Oxford in the spring of 2025 with the intent of reaching as many of its residents as possible. Postcards were delivered to all residential households in the County inviting residents 16 years of age and older to complete the online survey hosted by the Canadian Index of Wellbeing at the University of Waterloo. To help raise awareness and stimulate response, traditional and social media were used as well as the support of community partners to encourage residents from across the County to participate.

A total of 1,630 individuals comprised the final sample. Just under 1,800 surveys were initially submitted, but 161 responses were excluded from the final sample principally because very little of the survey had been completed. A few other surveys were deemed unusable due to low response on critical sections of the questionnaire and/or questionable integrity (i.e., careful scrutiny of answers revealed patterns of invalid responses). The vast majority of usable surveys were completed online (96.9%; $n = 1,579$) with the remaining surveys (3.1%; $n = 51$) completed using a paper version that was either requested by residents or used with targeted groups.

Given the open invitation used to generate a sample, determining an accurate, final response rate is not possible. However, based on the nature of the responses, the reach across the County, and previous experience with general population surveys, a total sample of 1,630 residents does should provide a reasonable amount of confidence in the results, especially after weighting the data (described below).

Given the size of the sample, the margin of error when reporting the overall results for Oxford County is estimated to be between $\pm 2.4\%$ and 3.0% , 19 times out of 20. This margin of error is somewhat higher for individual municipalities, especially for the smaller townships where response rates were correspondingly lower. For example, with a final sample of 106 respondents from Zorra Township, the margin of error rises to between 9% and 10% , 19 times out of 20.

This report is comprised mainly of summary, descriptive statistics. It provides frequency distributions and/or measures of central tendency for all questions across the eight domains comprising the Canadian Index of Wellbeing (CIW) conceptual framework: *Community Vitality*, *Democratic Engagement*, *Education*, *Environment*, *Healthy Populations*, *Leisure and Culture*, *Living Standards*, and *Time Use*. A demographic profile of residents is presented first and then following the eight sections for each domain, measures of overall wellbeing are reported to conclude the main body of the report.

Weighting of data

In an effort to ensure the results from the survey are reasonably representative of the residents of Oxford County, the data provided by the 1,630 respondents were weighted by gender and age group to match estimates for 2025 based on the 2021 Census profile for those residents 15 years of age and older. Weighting the data allows the overall results presented in this report to reflect the responses of residents more accurately without under- or over-representing any groups based on their gender and age.

The following tables provide a description of survey participants. Each table first presents the unweighted results for age and gender, and then presents the results for these two characteristics once survey weights were applied as well as estimates for 2025 based on the 2021 Census of Canada. The estimates drawn from the Census were used to create survey weights that would thereby adjust the distributions of actual respondents from the survey to more closely match the distributions of all residents in the County.

Survey results based on both unweighted and weighted data are presented in the following two tables: **gender** (see Table A), and **age group** (see Table B). Weighting was initially planned to include the municipality in which residents lived, but relatively lower response from each of the townships meant the calculation of weights would be unreliable. For example, Blandford-Blenheim Township had 70 respondents to the survey and once divided by gender and age group would result in very few residents in several categories (e.g., only four men aged 30 to 39 years in Blandford-Blenheim) rendering a calculation of a weight untenable. Consequently, only gender and age group – which did provide sufficient numbers in all categories – were used to weight the data to better represent the profile of the County’s residents.

Tables A and B compare the results from the survey sample to the distributions for: (1) the total population (aged 15 years and older) following weighting by gender and age, and (2) an estimate of the population in 2025 based on the 2021 Census of Canada.

Seven in ten of the respondents (71.2%) to the survey were women (see Table A), which is not entirely surprising because women routinely respond to surveys more frequently than men. Following weighting of the sample, however, the distribution of men and women almost precisely approximates the distribution reported in the Census.

Table A
Distribution of Residents *by Gender*

Gender	Unweighted ^a		Weighted Sample		Census ^b	
	n	Pct.	n	Pct.	n	Pct.
Woman	883	71.2	55,984	51.0	56,074	51.0
Man	358	28.8	53,782	49.0	53,968	49.0
Total	1,241	100.0	109,765	100.0	110,042	100.0

Note: ^a Thirteen residents (1.0%) did not report their gender. Twelve residents (0.9%) reported non-binary or gender diverse as their gender.

^b Figures from Census of Canada are estimates for population 15 years of age and older in 2025.

Similarly, people in their middle and later years are more likely to respond to surveys than other age groups, and this was reflected in the Oxford County results (see Table B). More people aged 40 to 49 years and 60 years of age and older responded to the survey, and fewer individuals under 30 years of age responded. Given the distribution of ages of the respondents, these six categories did, however, provide the overall number of responses necessary to allow for the weighting of responses and thereby more closely reflect the age distribution of the County.

Table B
Distribution of Residents *by Age Group*

Age Group	Unweighted ^a		Weighted Sample		Census ^b	
	n	Pct.	n	Pct.	n	Pct.
16 to 29 years	101	7.9	22,847	20.8	22,683	20.6
30 to 39 years	198	15.6	17,370	15.8	17,495	15.9
40 to 49 years	226	17.8	15,873	14.5	15,918	14.5
50 to 59 years	209	16.4	17,676	16.1	17,732	16.1
60 to 69 years	281	22.1	17,356	15.8	17,495	15.9
70 years and older	256	20.1	18,643	17.0	18,719	17.0
Total	1,271	100.0	109,765	100.0	110,042	100.0

Note: ^a 359 residents did not report their ages.

^b Figures from Census of Canada are estimates for population 15 years of age and older in 2025.

Even though municipality of residence was not used in the weighting of the sample, the number of responses from each municipality did reasonably approximate the proportions reported in the Census. A higher percentage of responses were received from Woodstock and a lower percentage from the five Townships reflecting the actual distribution of the population across the County. Given these results, the weighting by gender and age would only slightly adjust these distributions accordingly, again ensuring the results would more accurately represent the voices of the residents of Oxford County regardless of where they live.

Table C
Distribution of Residents *by Municipality*

Municipality	Unweighted ^a		Weighted Sample	
	n	Pct.	n	Pct.
Woodstock	480	38.4	42,681	40.1
Tillsonburg	182	14.5	13,323	12.5
Ingersoll	181	14.5	15,713	14.8
Zorra	106	8.5	9,569	9.0
East Zorra-Tavistock	81	6.5	6,922	6.5
Norwich	79	6.3	6,738	6.3
South-West Oxford	72	5.8	5,554	5.2
Blandford-Blenheim	70	5.6	5,997	5.6
Total	1,271	100.0	106,498	100.0

Note: ^a 379 residents did not indicate in which municipality they resided.

Reading the report

As noted, the results presented in the tables comprising the rest of this report are weighted to reflect estimates for the population of Oxford County, aged 16 years and older. In some cases, the total number of responses does not equal the total population due to missing responses. Non-response typically represents only a few people, so the totals are not substantially less than the population total for the County. In other instances, people might simply have chosen not to answer specific questions for a variety of reasons (e.g., they felt the question was not relevant to them, they did not recall the requested information, or they thought the question was too personal). For example, many people often choose not to answer questions about income; in this survey, approximately one-quarter of the residents declined to report their incomes, which is fairly typical. For some questions, response categories of “does not apply” or “don’t know” were provided. These answers are not reported in the tables and typically are used by only a few people.

All tables in the report show results for each of Woodstock, Tillsonburg, Ingersoll, and the five Townships, and for the County overall. Given the lower numbers of respondents in each of Townships, they were combined for the purposes of this report. However, it should be noted that each of the Townships does have a sufficient number of responses to report its individual results for many of the indicators (i.e., as long as there are enough respondents within each category). For questions that presented respondents with several statements or items to which they reported participation or providing ratings on scales such as level of agreement or perceptions, there are separate tables for each item in the scale. For example, in the section of Community Vitality concerning residents’ perceptions of their community as a place to live, there are 14 individual tables (Table 27a to 27m) comparing responses by municipality. These tables are followed by a table summarising the overall scale results for sense of community and its components.

For questions that ask participants to indicate their level of agreement or satisfaction with a statement, the levels have been collapsed into more meaningful categories for ease of interpretation. For example, when measuring level of agreement along a 7-point scale where 1 = *strongly disagree* and 7 = *strongly agree*, the first three values (1, 2, and 3) have been categorised as *disagree*, a value of 4 is *neutral*, and the last three values (5, 6 and 7) are categorised as *agree*. In these tables, the overall average (and standard deviation) based on the full 7-point scales are also reported.

When averages are reported, they occasionally are associated with high standard deviations – sometimes with values quite similar to averages – and these reflect high variations in the respondents’ answers. For example, when respondents reported the number of relatives (Table 23) and the number of friends (Table 24) to whom they felt close, the averages are between four and five individuals. The standard deviations – the amount of variation around those averages – are also around four or five meaning some people reported many more than four or five individuals they felt close to, but similarly, many people reported having very few, if any, close relatives or friends.

For some questions, such as racial identity or type of household, if one of the provided options did not adequately capture the circumstances of some respondents, they had the option to write in a different response. However, not all responses to these open-ended questions are included in the tables because the numbers associated with a particular response were extremely low. In these instances, some explanatory text appears as notes beneath the relevant tables.

A cautionary note

When exploring these summary results, some caution should be exercised. For example, when the results are broken down into several categories, very few people from a municipality might be representing one category. Then, when the data are weighted, certain categories might appear inflated even though a relatively small number of people fell within that category. Nevertheless, by weighting the data, this result still likely falls within the margin of error for a municipality.

Perhaps more importantly, users of the report should remember that the survey results reflect a *population*-level response to the questions and do not necessarily reflect each *individual's* experience. For example, when residents were asked about how satisfied they were with their current housing circumstances, their overall level of satisfaction was 3.82 on a 5-point scale (see Table 96) suggesting that people are quite satisfied. While this average on housing satisfaction is a valid indicator of the *overall population* in the County, it should not be assumed to represent the level of satisfaction for every *individual* in the County. Indeed, roughly one in five residents indicated that they were *dissatisfied* overall with their housing. If we hope to make real change to the wellbeing of residents, this is an example of why we must also focus our attention on the responses – the experiences – of groups of interest within the County.

Finally, the weighted total number of residents responding to individual questions varies across the tables because of non-response. While the total number of residents was estimated to be 109,765 individuals following the application of weights based on the Census, the number of residents responding to each question can be somewhat lower if everyone did not answer a question. For example, 466 individuals chose not to answer the question concerning their annual household income, so the weighted estimated number of residents answering this question was 94,394 (see Table 9).

An opportunity

As the example above concerning satisfaction with current housing illustrates, there are real variations in people's experiences that exist beyond the summary statistics. Yet, the variation in experience is an example of the opportunity that the survey data provides for a closer look at the *disparities* that exist within the County on selected indicators of wellbeing. In other words, the survey data can be used to reveal where *wellbeing inequities* are present *within* the population and what factors appear to be most strongly associated with those inequities. By doing so, we have the opportunity to make decisions and take strategic steps and policy actions that could bring about real social change based on evidence.

List of abbreviations and terms used in the tables

n	Number of residents
Pct.	Percentage of residents
Mean	Arithmetic average
Std. Dev.	Standard deviation (average amount by which scores deviate from the mean)
Min.	Minimum score reported
Max.	Maximum score reported

Conceptual Definitions of the CIW Domains

The eight domains comprising the conceptual framework of the CIW emerged from multiple focus groups with Canadians from coast to coast who described those aspects of their lives that mattered to them. Those focus groups not only identified the domains of life contributing to Canadians' wellbeing, but also guided the development of conceptual definitions that captured the essence of each domain. And from those definitions, the questions forming the CIW's community wellbeing survey were selected to best create a profile of how the residents of Oxford County are really doing.

Community Vitality

Vital communities are those that have strong, active, and inclusive relationships among people, private, public, and non-governmental organisations that foster individual and collective wellbeing.

Vital communities are able to cultivate and marshal these relationships in order to create, adapt, and thrive in a changing world. They do so by focusing on social relationships and support, including community safety and social engagement, and on social norms and values, including feelings towards others and residents' sense of belonging to their communities.

Democratic Engagement

Democratic Engagement means being involved in advancing democracy through political institutions, organisations, and activities.

A society that enjoys a high degree of democratic engagement is one where citizens participate in political activities, express political views, and foster political knowledge; where governments build relationships, trust, shared responsibility, and participation opportunities with citizens; and where citizens, governments, and civil society uphold democratic values at local, provincial, and national levels. A healthy democracy needs citizens who feel their votes count, are informed, participate, debate, and advocate. It needs governments at all levels to be transparent, inclusive, consultative, and trustworthy. In essence, political leadership, citizen participation, and communication demonstrate the level of democratic engagement.

Education

Education is the systematic instruction, schooling, or training given to the young in preparation for the work of life, and by extension, similar instruction or training obtained in adulthood.

Societies that thrive encourage a thirst for knowledge — at every age and stage of life. Education is a process that begins before school age and is reflected in pre-school arrangements such as childcare and early childhood education. It also continues beyond elementary and high school, to college, university, and professional training through apprenticeships. Education continues as lifelong learning.

Environment

The environment is the foundation upon which human societies are built and the source of our sustained wellbeing. On a broader level, environmental protection involves the prevention of waste and damage while revitalizing our ecosystems and working towards the sustainability of all our resources.

The environment is the basis for our health, our communities, and our economy. Despite its fundamental importance to human existence and the natural resource wealth it provides to Canada, we often fail to appreciate the various ecosystem services provided by nature that sustain human wellbeing. Indeed, how great is our wellbeing if we cannot breathe the air or drink the water?

Healthy Populations

The Healthy Populations domain considers the physical, mental, and social wellbeing of the population. It examines life expectancy, lifestyle and behaviours, and the circumstances that influence health such as access to health care.

Healthy Populations captures both the overall health of the population (“health status”) as well as factors that influence health (“health determinants”). This broad perspective is used because individuals’ lifestyles and behaviours are constrained and shaped by broader social factors such as how food is distributed and priced, how houses are constructed and located, how urban transportation is designed, how accessible health care and recreational services are, and how we interact with the natural environment.

Leisure and Culture

By participating in leisure and cultural activities, whether arts, culture, or recreation, we contribute to our wellbeing as individuals, to our communities, and to society as a whole. The myriad of activities and opportunities we pursue and enjoy benefit our overall life satisfaction and quality of life.

As forms of human expression, leisure and cultural activities help to more fully define our lives, the meaning we derive from them, and ultimately, our wellbeing. This remains true throughout our lives regardless of age, gender, or social group. The impact of participation in leisure and cultural activities is even greater for people in marginalized groups, such as those living with disabilities, living in poverty, and as members of a minority population.

Living Standards

Living Standards examines Canadians’ average and median income and wealth, distribution of income and wealth including poverty rates, income fluctuations and volatility, and economic security, including labour market security, and housing and food security.

Our living standards should reflect our capacity to transform economic growth into stable current and future income streams for everyone. Economic growth does not automatically translate into better living standards. A higher average income, for example, may be achieved at the cost of increased social inequality or greater economic insecurity. In contrast, achieving greater job quality, reducing poverty, and providing basic affordable housing and food security to individuals and families will raise wellbeing for everyone.

Time Use

Time use considers how people experience and spend their time. It means how the use of our time affects physical and mental wellbeing, individual and family wellbeing, and present and future wellbeing. It examines the length of our workweek, our work arrangements, our levels of time pressure, and the time we spend with friends and in other free-time activities.

The implicit assumption with Time Use is the notion of balance. Most activities are beneficial to wellbeing when done in moderation, but are detrimental when done excessively or not at all. There are only 24-hours in a day, so too much time directed towards one activity can mean not enough or no time at all allocated for other activities that are also critical for our wellbeing. Not only does the amount of time matter, but the pace of and relative control over timing of activities throughout the day can affect overall quality of life.

Demographic Profile

Table 1
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Self-Identified Gender

Gender ^a	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Woman	51.0	49.4	56.5	52.6	50.6
Man	49.0	50.6	43.5	47.4	49.4
Total	109,765	40,795	12,501	15,459	33,939

Notes: ^a Numbers of respondents reporting a gender identity other than a woman or a man were too low to report reliably.
Other preferred self-identified gender reported included “non-binary” (n=5, 0.4%), “gender diverse”, (n=7, 0.5%). Nine individuals reported another distinct preferred identity.
Thirteen individuals (1.0%) preferred not to answer.

Table 2
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Self-Identified as 2SLGBTQIA+

2SLGBTQIA+	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Yes	7.7	11.1	6.2	7.3	4.4
No	92.3	88.9	93.8	92.7	95.6
Total	100,217	39,921	12,131	15,025	33,140

Notes: 391 individuals (24.0%) preferred not to answer.

Table 3
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Trans Experience or Part of the Trans Community

Trans Experience ^a	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Yes	1.6	2.6	0.0	0.3	1.6
No	98.4	97.4	100.0	99.7	98.4
Total	100,690	40,326	12,290	14,619	33,455

Notes: 383 individuals (23.5%) preferred not to answer.

Table 4
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Sexual or Romantic Orientation

Orientation ^a	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Heterosexual/straight	91.7	87.6	92.4	92.3	96.2
Bisexual	4.4	6.6	5.6	3.5	1.7
Gay	1.9	3.3	0.0	2.3	0.6
Queer	0.8	1.2	0.0	1.6	0.2
Questioning	0.7	0.6	1.6	0.3	0.7
Lesbian	0.5	0.7	0.4	0.0	0.6
Total	98,590	39,378	11,996	14,186	33,030

Notes: 395 individuals (24.3%) preferred not to answer. Six individuals (0.4%) reported “asexual” and three individuals reported “pansexual” (0.2%).

Table 5a
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Average Age in Years

Age ^a	County Overall	Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Age in years	48.8 (18.3)	47.0 (18.3)	56.5 (17.3)	44.1 (18.5)	50.2 (17.3)
Total	102,694	40,795	12,501	15,459	33,939

Note: ^a Mean age in years with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Table 5b
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Groupings of Age in Years

Age Group ^a	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
16 to 29 years	20.8	23.4	7.9	26.9	18.7
30 to 39 years	15.8	17.4	15.3	15.6	15.3
40 to 49 years	14.5	15.9	11.6	13.7	14.4
50 to 59 years	16.1	17.0	14.4	19.0	15.8
60 to 69 years	15.8	10.6	19.6	16.5	20.1
70 years and older	17.0	15.7	31.3	8.3	15.7
Total	102,694	40,794	12,501	14,459	33,939

Note: ^a Residents had to be at least 16 years of age to participate in the survey. The oldest resident to respond to the survey was 91 years of age.

Table 6
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Marital Status

Marital Status	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Married	56.0	50.1	64.6	45.2	64.9
Single, never married	18.7	21.3	10.1	31.4	13.0
Living common-law	11.1	12.8	9.2	10.6	9.9
Divorced	5.8	6.2	4.2	6.6	5.4
Widowed	4.6	4.8	8.0	2.8	4.0
Separated	3.8	4.9	3.9	3.4	2.8
Total	102,601	40,794	12,500	15,460	33,847

Table 7
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Level of Education Completed

Level of Education	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Elementary school	3.6	2.6	0.4	15.2	0.8
High school	15.0	17.3	15.5	16.1	11.5
Post-secondary ^a	12.0	11.8	17.0	8.8	12.1
College diploma	32.1	32.0	35.1	27.1	33.3
University degree ^b	25.0	22.8	22.8	22.0	29.8
Graduate degree ^c	12.3	13.5	9.2	10.9	12.5
Total	102,694	40,797	12,500	15,457	33,940

Notes: ^a Post secondary includes certificate, trade, or apprenticeship.

^b University degree includes all undergraduate degree programs (e.g., B.A., B.Sc.)

^c Graduate degree includes all post-undergraduate programs (e.g., M.A., M.Sc., Ph.D.)

Table 8
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Main Activity

Main Activity	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Employed full-time	45.2	47.2	35.8	46.5	45.9
Retired, by choice	24.1	21.1	44.2	15.3	24.5
Employed part-time	8.1	6.3	7.6	12.9	8.2
Self-employed	6.6	6.0	1.9	4.1	10.3
Retired, <i>not</i> by choice	3.5	3.0	3.8	2.1	4.5
Unemployed, looking for work	2.8	4.1	1.8	4.4	0.7
Going to school	2.8	3.5	0.0	6.9	1.2
Household work/ caring for children	2.0	1.8	0.9	2.6	2.6
On leave from work ^a	1.8	2.9	1.2	1.8	0.8
Non-standard employment ^b	1.1	1.8	0.0	1.1	0.6
Unemployed, <i>not</i> looking for work	1.3	1.7	2.8	2.1	0.0
Temporary leave from work ^c	0.7	0.9	0.0	0.4	0.8
Total	102,449	40,695	12,502	15,414	33,838

Notes: ^a Leave due to long-term disability.
^b Includes contract, temporary, seasonal, and multiple jobs.
^c Temporary leave due to, for example, illness or parental leave.

Table 9
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Annual Household Income from All Sources

Household Income	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Under \$10,000	2.7	3.1	1.0	6.2	1.3
\$10,000 to \$19,999	3.6	2.1	8.5	6.8	2.1
\$20,000 to \$29,999	3.7	4.2	4.3	3.8	2.7
\$30,000 to \$39,999	5.2	5.3	5.6	7.0	4.0
\$40,000 to \$59,999	13.6	15.5	14.9	6.9	13.7
\$60,000 to \$79,999	12.7	15.3	13.9	11.5	9.7
\$80,000 to \$99,999	12.1	11.3	13.5	13.1	12.1
\$100,000 to \$119,999	12.9	11.8	12.1	8.9	16.3
\$120,000 to \$149,999	11.9	11.0	7.9	15.6	12.9
\$150,000 and over	21.7	20.3	18.3	20.4	25.2
Total	94,394	37,929	11,646	13,580	31,239

Note: 466 individuals declined to report their annual household income.

Table 10
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Born in Canada

Country of Birth	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Canada	90.8	90.9	93.0	90.1	90.1
Another country	9.2	9.1	7.0	9.9	9.9
Total	102,363	40,795	12,454	15,459	33,655

Table 11
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Years Lived in Canada (if *not* born in Canada)

Years Lived in Canada	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
10 years or less	24.7	29.0	0.0	33.3	22.2
11 to 20 years	15.0	23.2	21.4	17.9	2.7
21 to 30 years	16.7	9.4	5.3	3.0	34.2
31 to 50 years	23.9	21.7	13.9	26.2	27.8
51 years or more	19.8	16.7	59.3	19.6	13.1
Total	9,390	3,709	868	1,524	3,289

Note: Not all respondents born outside of Canada reported years lived in Canada. For those respondents who were born outside of Canada and who reported years lived in Canada ($n = 10,408$, 10.2%), the average length of time they had lived in Canada was just over 28 years ($M = 28.41$, $SD = 21.73$).

Table 12
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Years Resident of Oxford County

Length of Residency	County Overall	Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Years resident	21.89 (18.32)	20.67 (18.51)	19.42 (15.56)	20.22 (17.25)	25.03 (19.06)
Total	102,287	40,644	12,425	15,383	33,836

Note: Mean age of residency in County with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Table 13
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Years Lived in Current Residence

Years in Current Residence	County Overall	Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Years in current residence	13.22 (12.83)	10.23 (10.62)	10.84 (9.12)	12.46 (12.56)	18.02 (14.94)
Total	102,379	40,527	12,501	15,459	33,893

Note: Mean years in current residence with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Table 14
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
First Nations, Métis, or Inuit

First Nations Status	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
No, do not identify	97.1	96.4	97.2	97.8	97.6
Yes, as First Nations	1.6	2.7	0.0	1.5	1.1
Yes, as Métis	1.0	0.7	1.4	0.7	1.3
Another Indigenous identity	0.3	0.3	1.4	0.0	0.0
Total	99,699	39,633	12,081	15,295	32,690

Note: No respondents to the survey identified as Inuit/Inuk. Approximately 2% were not sure or did not know.

Table 15
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Self-Identified Racial Identity

Racial Identity	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
White	93.7	92.9	95.4	92.3	94.8
South Asian	1.6	2.3	0.0	2.2	1.1
Biracial/multiracial	1.2	0.7	2.4	0.8	1.6
Middle Eastern	1.0	0.8	0.0	3.3	0.6
Latin American/Hispanic	0.4	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.1
Southeast Asian	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.8
Black	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
East Asian	0.2	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	101,560	40,674	12,333	15,231	33,322

Note: Only the most frequently racial identities are reported in the table (i.e., at least 1% of the population). Racial identities *not* reported in the table due to low response were Latin American/Hispanic (0.4%), Southeast Asian (0.3%), Black (0.2%), and East Asian (0.2%).

Table 16
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
First Official Language

First Language	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
English	95.7	94.7	96.9	95.4	96.4
French	0.7	1.4	0.0	0.3	0.4
Other	3.6	3.9	3.1	4.3	3.2
Total	102,352	40,750	12,501	15,413	33,688

Table 17a
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Living with a Disability (physical or mental) or a Chronic Illness

Living with Disability or Chronic Illness	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Living with disability	26.7	32.2	29.4	22.9	20.7
Total	102,423	40,720	12,500	15,458	33,745

Table 17b
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Description of Disability or Chronic Illness

Disability or Chronic Illness	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Pain-related	43.0	37.8	51.0	49.9	45.3
Mental health	40.7	43.7	42.7	38.6	35.1
Mobility	36.6	32.6	40.8	45.5	37.6
Flexibility	26.3	24.6	20.7	39.8	24.0
Neurodivergent	24.8	34.0	22.4	15.9	13.2
Hearing	17.5	16.0	16.8	22.9	18.2
Memory	13.3	15.6	11.1	17.7	7.9
Dexterity	12.0	14.3	1.5	13.9	12.2
Seeing	11.9	16.9	15.9	8.1	2.5
Learning	8.6	11.9	12.0	4.9	2.4
Developmental	4.4	5.0	5.7	4.6	2.3
Another disability/illness	21.2	21.7	13.8	13.6	27.8
Total	27,333	13,125	3,673	3,544	6,991

Notes: Only those individuals who reported living with a disability or chronic illness (Table 17a) included in the table. Other self-reported disabilities or chronic illnesses included diabetes (1.1%) and cancer (0.4%). Percentages do not total 100% because respondents could identify more than one type of disability or chronic illness with which they are living. Overall, 36.0% reported living with just one disability or chronic illness. On average, residents reported living with between two and three disabilities and/or chronic illnesses ($M=2.57$, $SD=1.74$).

Table 17c
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Frequency of Encountering Barriers in Community that Limit Ability to Fully Participate

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Sometimes	Regularly	Very often	All the time
Woodstock	13,124	17.9	48.2	14.2	10.0	9.7
Tillsonburg	3,672	18.8	54.5	11.2	3.3	12.2
Ingersoll	3,544	36.7	25.5	21.0	10.9	5.9
Townships	7,186	41.9	38.2	12.6	2.7	4.6
County Overall	27,526	26.7	43.5	14.3	7.3	8.2

Note: Only those individuals who reported living with a disability or chronic illness (Table 17a) included in the table.

Table 18
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Main Form of Transportation Resident Uses to Get Around the Community

Form of Transportation	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Personal car	86.6	83.5	88.4	82.3	86.6
Walk	10.2	12.2	9.2	14.3	10.2
Public transit	1.2	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bicycle	0.6	0.2	0.4	1.3	0.6
Other ^a	1.4	0.9	2.0	2.1	1.4
Total	102,647	40,749	12,501	15,458	33,939

Notes: ^a The most frequently reported other form of transportation identified by individuals was getting a ride from other family members.

Table 19
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Household Type

Household Type	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Couple with children living at home	28.8	26.9	15.2	43.6	29.4
Couple with no children at home (empty-nester)	21.8	17.5	35.0	14.9	25.3
Couple with no children	16.9	16.4	22.5	10.5	18.2
Adult living alone	15.5	19.8	18.5	11.8	10.8
Adult sharing accommodation	6.9	9.4	3.8	4.0	6.2
Adult with children living at home (single parent)	5.7	6.7	2.9	8.3	4.5
Other ^a	4.4	3.3	2.1	6.9	5.5
Total	102,216	40,635	12,454	15,459	33,668

Notes: ^a Among respondents who indicated *other* household type, most of the responses indicated being adults living with parents or other family members (2.8%), with adult children at home (1.0%), or living in multigenerational or extended families (0.4%).

Table 20
Profile of Respondents in Oxford County:
Percentage of Households with at Least One Child Living at Home of Selected Ages

Presence of Children Living at Home	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Under 5 years	14.6	15.8	7.5	17.0	14.5
5 to 12 years	18.0	20.3	13.2	18.5	17.0
13 to 18 years	18.4	17.4	11.4	25.3	18.6
Over 18 years	51.7	46.4	65.4	40.0	58.7
Total	71,999	27,129	8,679	12,108	24,083

Community Vitality



Volunteering and Supporting Others

Table 20
Residents who Volunteered During the Past 12 Months

Municipality	n	Pct.
Woodstock	40,126	43.4
Tillsonburg	12,380	45.6
Ingersoll	15,040	52.1
Townships	33,396	63.0
County Overall	100,942	51.4

Table 21
Residents who were Members of or Participants in Organizations and Groups in Past 12 Months

Organization or Group	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Union or professional association	30.9	30.5	27.4	30.4	32.7
Sports or recreational organization ^a	30.0	30.7	25.9	31.7	29.9
Cultural, educational or hobby organization ^b	26.0	23.8	26.1	33.5	25.3
Faith-based group ^c	20.3	14.4	14.3	20.7	29.4
Public interest group ^d	15.6	14.8	22.0	14.3	15.0
Political party or group	12.0	10.4	16.8	5.2	15.4
School group, neighbourhood, civic or community association ^e	11.8	8.9	10.1	12.7	15.5
Service club or fraternal organization ^f	8.9	7.1	10.9	10.2	9.7
Other organized group or activity not mentioned above	23.8	21.4	23.3	25.8	25.8
Total	100,285	39,971	12,086	15,121	33,107

- Notes:
- ^a For example: hockey league, health club, golf club.
 - ^b For example: theatre group, book club, bridge club.
 - ^c For example: church youth group, choir.
 - ^d For example: focused on the environment, animal welfare, food security, homelessness.
 - ^e For example: PTA, alumni, block parents, neighbourhood watch.
 - ^f For example: Kiwanis, Knights of Columbus, the Legion.

Table 22
Residents who Provided Unpaid Help in Activities of Daily Living to Others in Past 12 Months

Type of Unpaid Help Provided	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Work at their home such as cooking, cleaning, gardening, maintenance, painting, shovelling snow, or car repairs	56.6	56.1	45.5	57.1	61.1
Health-related or personal care, such as emotional support, counselling, providing advice, visiting the elderly, unpaid babysitting	48.9	48.0	43.1	47.8	52.6
Doing any shopping, driving someone to the store, or to any other appointments	46.2	47.0	39.8	50.5	45.4
Paperwork tasks such as writing letters, doing taxes, filling out forms, banking, paying bills, or finding information	38.0	36.0	40.4	36.2	40.4
Unpaid teaching, coaching, tutoring, or assisting with reading	20.0	20.7	17.7	17.7	21.1
Total	101,315	40,458	12,236	15,327	33,294

Size of Close Social Networks

Table 23

Number of **Relatives** (including uncles, aunts, cousins) that Resident Feels Close to (e.g., feels at ease with, can talk to about what is on one's mind, and/or can call on for help)

Relatives	County Overall	Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Number of relatives	4.94 (5.45)	4.47 (4.79)	4.06 (4.92)	5.09 (5.06)	5.77 (6.36)
Total	101,926	40,516	12,349	15,225	33,836

Note: ^a Mean number of relatives with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Table 24

Number of **Close Friends** (including uncles, aunts, cousins) that Resident Feels Close to (e.g., feels at ease with, can talk to about what is on one's mind, and/or can call on for help)

Close friends	County Overall	Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Number of close friends	4.41 (5.00)	4.07 (4.04)	3.88 (4.28)	3.78 (4.43)	5.30 (6.25)
Total	102,283	40,562	12,425	15,402	33,893

Note: ^a Mean number of close friends with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Table 25

Number of **People in Neighbourhood** that Resident Knows Well Enough to Ask for a Favour

Neighbours	County Overall	Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Number of neighbours	3.88 (6.74)	2.63 (4.39)	3.85 (5.47)	2.92 (3.50)	5.85 (9.57)
Total	102,305	40,673	12,454	15,402	33,775

Note: ^a Mean number of neighbours with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Table 26
Residents' Sense of Belonging to the Community

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary ^b	
		Weak	Neutral	Strong	Mean	Std. Dev.
Townships	33,324	34.4	19.1	46.5	4.22	1.73
Tillsonburg	12,146	36.2	18.1	45.7	4.04	1.82
Ingersoll	15,509	41.3	12.8	46.0	3.97	1.73
Woodstock	39,607	40.1	26.5	33.5	3.69	1.70
County Overall	100,136	37.9	20.9	41.2	3.95	1.75

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Weak*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Strong*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect stronger feelings of belonging.

Sense of Community

Table 27a
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"Many people in this community are available to give help if somebody needs it"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,570	24.3	26.3	49.3	4.29	1.32
Tillsonburg	12,456	16.9	23.8	59.4	4.63	1.36
Ingersoll	15,402	9.9	27.4	62.7	4.67	1.13
Townships	33,818	11.0	21.6	67.4	4.90	1.20
County Overall	102,246	16.8	24.6	58.5	4.59	1.29

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27b
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"I have good friends in this community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,692	28.7	16.0	55.3	4.37	1.62
Tillsonburg	12,500	26.2	12.6	61.3	4.48	1.65
Ingersoll	15,459	23.2	12.4	64.4	4.65	1.58
Townships	33,836	19.7	13.5	66.8	4.79	1.50
County Overall	102,487	24.6	14.2	61.2	4.57	1.59

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27c
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live
"This community provides opportunities for me to do a lot of different things"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,692	22.3	25.9	51.8	4.33	1.37
Tillsonburg	12,322	23.8	16.5	59.7	4.52	1.53
Ingersoll	15,458	20.9	23.6	55.5	4.51	1.31
Townships	33,836	30.1	19.5	50.3	4.25	1.49
County Overall	102,308	24.9	22.3	52.8	4.36	1.43

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27d
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live
"If I had a problem, few people in this community would try to help me"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,617	41.5	28.2	30.3	3.86	1.34
Tillsonburg	12,426	41.0	33.8	25.2	3.83	1.33
Ingersoll	15,402	40.0	33.4	26.6	3.70	1.32
Townships	33,836	45.8	25.0	29.2	3.67	1.42
County Overall	102,281	42.6	28.6	28.7	3.77	1.36

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27e
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live
"I feel at ease with the people in this community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,691	24.4	23.9	51.6	4.22	1.43
Tillsonburg	12,501	24.4	18.9	56.7	4.44	1.42
Ingersoll	15,459	16.9	27.8	55.3	4.50	1.30
Townships	33,779	14.9	20.8	64.3	4.75	1.26
County Overall	102,430	20.2	22.9	57.0	4.46	1.37

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27f
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"If I need help, this community has many excellent services to meet my needs"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,617	23.8	27.2	49.1	4.17	1.43
Tillsonburg	12,305	23.5	21.8	54.6	4.35	1.38
Ingersoll	15,458	16.7	34.9	48.4	4.46	1.20
Townships	33,723	25.9	36.5	37.6	4.09	1.19
County Overall	102,103	23.4	30.8	45.8	4.21	1.32

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27g
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"In this community, people are not willing to help those in need"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,646	53.2	23.8	22.9	3.59	1.33
Tillsonburg	12,425	61.3	21.8	16.9	3.32	1.31
Ingersoll	15,459	61.5	22.2	16.3	3.23	1.32
Townships	33,760	65.3	24.0	10.7	3.06	1.21
County Overall	102,290	59.4	23.4	17.2	3.33	1.31

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27h
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"People are sociable here"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,555	20.8	25.8	53.5	4.36	1.20
Tillsonburg	12,501	16.4	19.5	64.1	4.61	1.23
Ingersoll	15,459	13.0	19.1	68.0	4.75	1.10
Townships	33,790	15.3	15.4	69.4	4.74	1.16
County Overall	102,305	17.2	20.6	62.2	4.57	1.19

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27i
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"In this community, there is never much to do"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,362	43.3	20.8	35.9	3.98	1.46
Tillsonburg	12,322	50.0	15.4	34.6	3.90	1.59
Ingersoll	15,384	46.1	19.6	34.2	3.76	1.43
Townships	33,780	45.9	19.3	34.8	3.85	1.35
County Overall	101,848	45.4	19.5	35.1	3.89	1.44

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27j
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"If I had an emergency, even people I do not know would be willing to help me"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,692	26.0	23.7	50.3	4.27	1.38
Tillsonburg	12,500	12.8	28.1	59.1	4.59	1.20
Ingersoll	15,459	18.9	20.6	60.5	4.60	1.46
Townships	33,789	9.5	24.7	65.9	4.91	1.15
County Overall	102,440	17.9	24.1	58.1	4.57	1.33

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27k
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"It is difficult for me to connect with the people in this community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,692	40.9	22.5	36.5	4.01	1.41
Tillsonburg	12,500	45.7	16.9	37.4	3.81	1.51
Ingersoll	15,458	50.1	20.1	29.8	3.68	1.38
Townships	33,760	55.4	16.0	28.6	3.60	1.38
County Overall	102,410	47.7	19.3	33.0	3.80	1.42

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27l
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"In this community, I have few opportunities to satisfy my needs"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,616	46.1	21.5	32.4	3.86	1.41
Tillsonburg	12,501	53.1	19.1	27.8	3.60	1.52
Ingersoll	15,384	48.0	23.5	28.4	3.71	1.40
Townships	33,492	48.8	20.5	30.7	3.72	1.32
County Overall	101,993	48.1	21.2	30.7	3.76	1.40

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27m
Residents' Perceptions of Sense of Community as a Place to Live

"I feel comfortable allowing my children (if applicable) to play outside unsupervised in my neighbourhood"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	37,051	30.2	34.3	35.4	3.94	1.43
Tillsonburg	10,785	26.6	35.7	37.8	4.01	1.38
Ingersoll	13,866	23.8	31.5	44.6	4.43	1.50
Townships	30,600	11.3	31.4	57.3	4.76	1.30
County Overall	92,302	22.6	33.1	44.4	4.29	1.44

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 27 – Summary
Dimensions of Overall Sense of Community
Summary Statistics

Sense of Community ^a	County Overall	Municipality ^b			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Social climate and bonds	4.45 (1.15)	4.23 (1.15)	4.43 (1.21)	4.56 (1.07)	4.67 (1.11)
Help in case of need	4.52 (0.99)	4.28 (1.02)	4.52 (0.95)	4.59 (0.91)	4.77 (0.94)
Needs fulfillment	4.23 (1.18)	4.17 (1.19)	4.35 (1.32)	4.38 (1.11)	4.19 (1.12)
Overall sense of community	4.40 (0.99)	4.23 (1.02)	4.43 (1.08)	4.51 (0.92)	4.54 (0.93)

Notes: ^a *Social climate and bonds* is an average of responses to statements assessing friendships, sociability, and ability to connect with people in the city.
^a *Help in case of need* includes responses to statements about the number and willingness of people to provide help in an emergency or situation of need.
^a *Needs fulfillment* refers to perceptions of the range and accessibility of opportunities to satisfy activity needs.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater satisfaction with dimension of sense of community. Mean above with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Feelings of Loneliness

(a component of the Sense of Community scale)

Table 28a
Residents' Feelings of Loneliness:

"I often feel that I lack companionship"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,647	47.7	12.3	40.0	3.88	1.74
Tillsonburg	12,501	52.5	12.3	35.2	3.60	1.63
Ingersoll	15,309	45.9	23.1	31.0	3.67	1.42
Townships	33,385	55.9	13.5	30.6	3.51	1.66
County Overall	102,292	50.7	14.3	35.0	3.69	1.67

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater feelings of loneliness.

Table 28b
Residents' Feelings of Loneliness:

"I often feel left out"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,543	46.3	23.8	29.9	3.75	1.53
Tillsonburg	12,501	53.2	22.3	24.5	3.51	1.43
Ingersoll	15,458	49.8	23.8	26.4	3.66	1.27
Townships	33,835	57.9	21.1	20.9	3.37	1.39
County Overall	102,337	51.5	22.7	25.8	3.58	1.44

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater feelings of loneliness.

Table 28c
Residents' Feelings of Loneliness:

"I often feel isolated from others in the community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,616	50.2	15.6	34.2	3.84	1.55
Tillsonburg	12,500	55.6	17.5	26.9	3.50	1.47
Ingersoll	15,131	52.4	23.0	24.6	3.44	1.37
Townships	33,760	58.0	19.7	22.3	3.41	1.40
County Overall	102,007	53.8	18.3	28.0	3.60	1.48

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater feelings of loneliness.

Table 28 – Summary
Residents' Overall Feelings of Loneliness:
Summary Statistics

Municipality	n	Loneliness ^a	
		Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,692	3.82	1.42
Tillsonburg	12,501	3.54	1.34
Ingersoll	15,459	3.60	1.12
Townships	33,836	3.43	1.32
County Overall	102,487	3.62	1.35

Note: ^a Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater feelings of loneliness.

Experience of Discrimination¹

Table 29a
Experience of Discrimination in Community Due to:
Ethnicity, culture, race, or skin colour

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,448	71.4	17.2	11.4	1.85	1.59
Tillsonburg	12,306	80.8	14.6	4.6	1.44	1.15
Ingersoll	15,403	82.0	14.6	3.4	1.42	1.06
Townships	33,836	78.8	15.4	5.8	1.54	1.25
County Overall	101,993	76.6	15.9	7.5	1.63	1.37

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 = *Never*; 2 to 4 = *Sometimes*; and 5 to 7 = *Often/all the time*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more frequent experiences of discrimination.

Table 29b
Experience of Discrimination in Community Due to:
Sexual Orientation

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,386	82.9	11.2	5.9	1.49	1.23
Tillsonburg	12,397	84.4	11.1	4.5	1.40	1.12
Ingersoll	15,458	85.9	11.0	3.2	1.38	1.11
Townships	33,379	87.0	9.1	3.8	1.36	1.12
County Overall	102,030	84.9	10.5	4.6	1.42	1.17

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 = *Never*; 2 to 4 = *Sometimes*; and 5 to 7 = *Often/all the time*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more frequent experiences of discrimination.

¹ Experiences of discrimination are reported at the population level and therefore do not represent the frequency that selected groups within the County experience discrimination specific to certain characteristics. For example, Black and Indigenous residents report significantly higher experiences of discrimination due to their racial identity.

Table 29c
Experience of Discrimination in Community Due to:
Age

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,536	61.5	26.3	12.2	2.00	1.55
Tillsonburg	12,293	67.9	25.6	6.5	1.74	1.34
Ingersoll	15,357	59.2	36.2	4.6	1.85	1.28
Townships	33,585	67.9	25.4	6.7	1.75	1.33
County Overall	101,771	64.0	27.4	8.5	1.86	1.42

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 = *Never*; 2 to 4 = *Sometimes*; and 5 to 7 = *Often/all the time*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more frequent experiences of discrimination.

Table 29d
Experience of Discrimination in Community Due to:
Gender

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,478	66.3	24.2	9.6	1.91	1.52
Tillsonburg	12,397	76.4	19.2	4.4	1.55	1.21
Ingersoll	15,459	67.9	28.6	3.5	1.63	1.14
Townships	33,774	72.6	20.5	6.9	1.70	1.35
County Overall	102,108	69.8	23.0	7.1	1.75	1.38

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 = *Never*; 2 to 4 = *Sometimes*; and 5 to 7 = *Often/all the time*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more frequent experiences of discrimination.

Table 29e
Experience of Discrimination in Community Due to:
Physical Appearance

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,447	59.5	24.6	15.9	2.19	1.83
Tillsonburg	12,397	67.3	27.5	5.2	1.71	1.30
Ingersoll	15,403	58.2	31.2	10.6	2.09	1.54
Townships	33,836	71.9	21.9	6.2	1.68	1.33
County Overall	102,083	64.4	25.1	10.6	1.95	1.59

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 = *Never*; 2 to 4 = *Sometimes*; and 5 to 7 = *Often/all the time*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more frequent experiences of discrimination.

Table 29f
Experience of Discrimination in Community Due to:
Religion

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,296	76.4	17.4	6.2	1.60	1.29
Tillsonburg	12,425	77.5	17.0	5.5	1.55	1.31
Ingersoll	15,222	70.2	19.3	10.5	1.77	1.45
Townships	22,835	73.7	19.1	7.3	1.76	1.50
County Overall	101,778	74.7	18.2	7.1	1.67	1.39

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 = *Never*; 2 to 4 = *Sometimes*; and 5 to 7 = *Often/all the time*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more frequent experiences of discrimination.

Table 29g
Experience of Discrimination in Community Due to:
Language

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,373	87.9	8.3	3.8	1.32	1.00
Tillsonburg	12,397	89.2	8.9	2.0	1.23	0.89
Ingersoll	15,403	90.4	8.8	0.7	1.22	0.77
Townships	33,760	88.8	8.6	2.6	1.32	1.06
County Overall	101,933	88.7	8.5	2.7	1.29	0.98

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 = *Never*; 2 to 4 = *Sometimes*; and 5 to 7 = *Often/all the time*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more frequent experiences of discrimination.

Table 29h
Experience of Discrimination in Community Due to:
Disability You Have

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Never	Sometimes	Often/All the time	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,524	75.5	10.5	14.1	1.85	1.67
Tillsonburg	12,246	75.8	19.4	4.8	1.57	1.21
Ingersoll	15,309	82.5	12.0	5.5	1.47	1.20
Townships	33,460	83.9	11.7	4.3	1.44	1.19
County Overall	100,539	79.4	12.2	8.4	1.62	1.41

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 = *Never*; 2 to 4 = *Sometimes*; and 5 to 7 = *Often/all the time*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more frequent experiences of discrimination.

Confidence in Institutions

Table 30a
Confidence in the **Police**

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Less confidence	Neutral/ not sure	More confidence	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,673	28.7	16.9	54.4	4.49	1.72
Tillsonburg	12,501	21.5	18.5	60.0	4.73	1.69
Ingersoll	15,458	23.2	24.9	51.9	4.44	1.59
Townships	33,878	27.4	17.0	55.6	4.58	1.74
County Overall	102,510	26.6	18.3	55.1	4.54	1.71

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Less confidence*; 4 = *Neutral/Not sure*; and 5 to 7 = *More confidence*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more greater confidence in institution.

Table 30b
Confidence in the **Justice System and Courts**

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Less confidence	Neutral/ not sure	More confidence	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,626	47.0	17.8	35.2	3.65	1.83
Tillsonburg	12,501	44.7	15.6	39.7	3.84	1.88
Ingersoll	15,459	36.2	30.4	33.5	3.80	1.62
Townships	33,939	41.2	21.8	37.1	3.80	1.72
County Overall	102,525	43.1	20.7	36.1	3.75	1.77

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Less confidence*; 4 = *Neutral/Not sure*; and 5 to 7 = *More confidence*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more greater confidence in institution.

Table 30c
Confidence in the **School System**

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Less confidence	Neutral/ not sure	More confidence	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,523	45.2	18.4	36.5	3.74	1.58
Tillsonburg	12,275	33.5	23.2	43.3	4.01	1.54
Ingersoll	15,412	39.4	23.4	37.2	3.91	1.52
Townships	33,715	45.1	19.0	35.9	3.74	1.71
County Overall	101,925	42.9	19.9	37.2	3.80	1.61

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Less confidence*; 4 = *Neutral/Not sure*; and 5 to 7 = *More confidence*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more greater confidence in institution.

Table 30d
Confidence in the **Health Care System**

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Less confidence	Neutral/ not sure	More confidence	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,692	34.1	17.8	48.1	4.16	1.74
Tillsonburg	12,501	48.0	14.5	37.4	3.63	1.72
Ingersoll	15,459	35.9	13.0	51.2	4.25	1.71
Townships	33,939	32.6	15.5	51.8	4.29	1.65
County Overall	102,591	35.6	15.9	48.5	4.15	1.72

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Less confidence*; 4 = *Neutral/Not sure*; and 5 to 7 = *More confidence*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more greater confidence in institution.

Table 30e
Confidence in the **Local Government**

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Less confidence	Neutral/ not sure	More confidence	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,673	42.5	23.5	34.0	3.61	1.71
Tillsonburg	12,443	41.9	25.3	32.7	3.67	1.60
Ingersoll	15,458	36.2	32.8	31.0	3.78	1.52
Townships	33,940	38.9	26.2	35.0	3.83	1.65
County Overall	102,514	40.3	26.0	33.7	3.72	1.65

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Less confidence*; 4 = *Neutral/Not sure*; and 5 to 7 = *More confidence*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more greater confidence in institution.

Table 31
Oxford County Residents' Level of Confidence in Various Institutions:
Rank Order of Institutions^a

Institution	n	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Police	109,582	4.56	1.72
Health care system	109,662	4.14	1.71
School system	108,998	3.83	1.62
Justice system and courts	109,597	3.77	1.78
Local government	109,587	3.74	1.66

Notes: ^a Institutions in rank order based on mean scores of confidence.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of confidence.

Perceptions of Safety

Table 32
Feeling Safe from Crime Walking Alone in your Neighbourhood after Dark

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Unsafe	Neutral	Safe	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,748	37.6	14.3	48.0	4.18	1.92
Tillsonburg	12,501	30.0	13.9	56.1	4.51	1.87
Ingersoll	15,459	23.0	14.4	62.7	4.73	1.60
Townships	33,832	15.2	8.4	76.3	5.44	1.68
County Overall	102,540	27.1	12.3	60.6	4.72	1.87

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Unsafe*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Safe*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater feelings of safety.

Experience of Intimate Partner Violence (IPV)

Table 33
Personal Experience of Any Controlling or Abusive Behaviour in an Intimate Relationship

Experienced IPV	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Yes	21.0	26.0	21.2	17.6	16.5
No	75.4	68.8	74.1	80.6	81.5
Unsure	3.5	5.1	4.7	1.8	2.0
Total	102,481	40,749	12,425	15,412	33,895

Table 34
Behaviours Individuals Have Experienced from any Intimate Partners They Have Had^a

Type of Behaviour ^b	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Using emotional abuse (e.g., puts you down; calls you names; humiliates you; makes you feel ashamed or guilty)	81.2	77.0	89.5	83.2	84.6
Minimizing, denying, and blaming (e.g., does not take your concerns seriously; denies abuse ever happened; blames you for abuse)	77.5	77.2	82.7	78.2	75.0
Coercing or threatening behaviour (e.g., makes or carries out threats to hurt you; threatens to embarrass you; threatens to leave you)	63.9	63.4	62.2	77.8	59.0
Using intimidation (e.g., makes you feel afraid with actions or gestures; throws or breaks things; abuses your pets or property)	54.0	52.3	52.0	74.3	48.6
Using isolation (e.g., controls what you do, see, or read; controls where you go and who you talk to; monitors your behaviour and communications)	52.6	49.5	56.9	62.7	52.0
Using privilege (e.g., treats you like a servant; makes all big decisions without you; defines your role in the relationship)	41.7	47.2	38.1	42.5	32.0
Using economic abuse (e.g., keeps you from getting/keeping a job; makes you ask for or takes your money; denies access to shared income)	32.5	36.5	20.8	39.7	26.6
Using children (e.g., makes you feel guilty about children; uses children to relay messages to you; threatens to take children away)	26.3	22.7	30.5	42.1	24.0
Total^c	24,945	12,584	3,116	2,984	6,261

Note: ^a Only individuals reporting an experience of intimate partner violence (see Table 33) are included in this table.

^b Behaviours directed at individuals who have experienced intimate partner violence are in rank order from the behaviour experienced by the highest percentage of individuals to the lowest percentage in the County overall.

^c The total number of individuals within each municipality who reported experiencing each of these behaviours differed slightly so the totals are estimates with margins of error of between 2% and 4%.

Table 35
Awareness of Local Services Available to Support Individuals Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			
		Not at all aware	Somewhat aware	Quite aware	Very aware
Woodstock	12,586	13.9	44.9	26.6	14.5
Tillsonburg	3,219	24.9	44.7	13.6	16.8
Ingersoll	2,984	25.8	40.7	18.0	15.4
Townships	6,262	23.8	39.2	23.3	13.7
County Overall	25,051	19.2	43.0	23.1	14.7

Note: ^a Only individuals reporting an experience of intimate partner violence (see Table 33) are included in this table.

Table 36
Use of Local Services Available to Support Individuals Experiencing Intimate Partner Violence

Local Service	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Family Violence Counselling	9.2	6.3	6.7	9.4	16.4
Ingamo Homes	2.6	2.3	0.0	7.5	2.2
DASO	9.0	10.1	1.7	11.7	9.3
Oxford Sexual Assault Services (OSAS)	1.5	2.2	1.4	0.0	0.7
Total	24,872	12,402	3,219	2,984	6,262

Note: ^a Percentage of individuals who have experienced intimate partner violence that have used these services.

Table 37
Extent to Which Residents Believe Intimate Partner Violence is a Concern in the Community^a

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^b			Summary Statistics ^c	
		Not a concern	Somewhat a concern	Very much a concern	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	12,630	3.4	32.9	63.7	5.18	1.55
Tillsonburg	3,220	3.2	23.8	73.0	5.16	1.66
Ingersoll	3,030	3.3	30.6	66.0	5.12	1.56
Townships	6,205	3.4	37.6	59.1	4.79	1.52
County Overall	25,085	3.4	32.6	64.0	5.08	1.57

Notes: ^a Only individuals reporting an experience of intimate partner violence (see Table 33) are included in this table.
^b Percentages based on combining responses 1 = *Not a concern*; 2 to 4 = *Somewhat a concern*; and 5 to 7 = *Very much a concern*.
^c Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect more greater feelings that IPV is a concern.

Healthy Populations



Self-Assessed Physical and Mental Health

Table 38
Residents Self-Assessed **Physical Health**

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a					Summary Statistics ^b	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,674	7.2	24.4	39.2	25.6	3.5	2.94	0.96
Tillsonburg	12,425	3.8	28.5	39.4	24.2	4.0	2.96	0.92
Ingersoll	15,458	5.5	24.5	40.4	23.7	5.9	3.00	0.97
Townships	33,939	4.5	23.4	41.9	24.2	6.1	3.04	0.95
County Overall	102,496	5.7	24.6	40.3	24.7	4.8	2.98	0.95

Note: ^a Based on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher perceived levels of physical health.

Table 39
Residents Self-Assessed **Mental Health**

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a					Summary Statistics ^b	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,674	14.3	26.5	29.8	23.4	6.1	2.81	1.13
Tillsonburg	12,425	9.8	21.7	35.6	24.0	8.9	3.01	1.10
Ingersoll	15,459	11.5	29.4	32.3	18.0	8.9	2.83	1.12
Townships	33,939	9.6	21.3	31.2	29.6	8.4	3.06	1.11
County Overall	102,497	11.8	24.6	31.3	24.7	7.6	2.92	1.12

Note: ^a Based on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher perceived levels of mental health.

Perceptions of the Quality and Accessibility of Health Care Services

Perceived Quality

Table 40
Residents' Perceptions of the **Quality of Health Care Services** in the Community

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a					Summary Statistics ^b	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,628	13.9	22.6	35.1	22.4	6.0	2.84	1.11
Tillsonburg	12,501	18.0	28.9	33.0	16.5	3.7	2.59	1.07
Ingersoll	15,459	8.6	22.6	35.8	20.5	12.4	3.05	1.13
Townships	33,864	12.9	25.3	30.9	25.4	5.5	2.85	1.11
County Overall	102,452	13.3	24.3	33.6	22.4	6.5	2.85	1.11

Note: ^a Based on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher perceived quality.

Table 41
Residents' Perceptions of the **Quality of Mental Health Services** in the Community

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a					Summary Statistics ^b	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,217	22.2	35.8	31.0	7.9	3.1	2.34	1.01
Tillsonburg	12,052	36.7	32.6	24.5	4.8	1.4	2.02	0.96
Ingersoll	14,856	20.7	31.3	33.0	9.5	5.6	2.48	1.09
Townships	32,742	22.2	33.8	35.3	6.8	1.9	2.33	0.96
County Overall	98,867	23.7	34.1	31.9	7.4	2.9	2.32	1.01

Note: ^a Based on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher perceived quality.

Perceived Accessibility

Table 42
Residents' Perceptions of the **Accessibility of Health Care Services** in the Community

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a					Summary Statistics ^b	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,644	18.7	31.2	30.9	14.1	5.1	2.56	1.10
Tillsonburg	12,501	28.2	32.3	29.1	8.6	1.8	2.23	1.01
Ingersoll	15,459	12.4	32.1	30.4	16.2	9.0	2.77	1.13
Townships	33,939	20.0	29.3	31.6	15.8	3.3	2.53	1.08
County Overall	102,543	19.3	30.8	30.9	14.3	4.7	2.54	1.10

Note: ^a Based on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher perceived accessibility.

Table 43
Residents' Perceptions of the **Accessibility of Mental Health Services** in the Community

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a					Summary Statistics ^b	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,358	25.6	41.8	24.5	6.2	1.9	2.17	0.94
Tillsonburg	12,051	38.6	37.6	20.7	2.7	0.4	1.89	0.85
Ingersoll	14,976	29.2	32.1	29.7	4.9	4.1	2.23	1.05
Townships	32,885	29.1	35.8	28.5	5.5	1.1	2.14	0.94
County Overall	99,270	28.9	37.8	26.1	5.3	1.8	2.13	0.95

Note: ^a Based on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher perceived accessibility.

Access to Health Care Professionals

Table 44
Residents With Access to a Health Care Professional

Health Care Professional	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Has a regular family doctor	85.2	83.0	86.0	78.3	90.6
Has access to another health care professional (e.g., pharmacist, Nurse Practitioner)	72.4	70.1	70.2	76.6	74.2
Total	102,572	40,748	12,051	15,459	33,864

Negative Impacts Experienced due to Mental Health Issues

Table 45
Residents Who Experienced Negative Impacts in the Past 12 Months Due to **Mental Health Issues**

Experienced Negative Impacts from Mental Health Issue	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Own mental health	45.6	52.1	44.9	47.1	37.5
Family member's mental health	43.2	47.5	44.5	41.4	38.4
Someone else's mental health (e.g., friend)	36.5	40.4	34.1	41.5	30.4
Total	102,393	40,497	12,501	15,459	33,836

Negative Impacts Experienced due to Substance Use Issues

Table 46
Residents Who Experienced Negative Impacts in the Past 12 Months Due to **Substance Use Issues**

Experienced Negative Impacts from Substance Use Issue	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Own substance use	8.7	10.2	5.9	10.7	7.0
Family member's substance use	13.6	16.5	14.7	8.9	11.8
Substance use by someone else (e.g., friend or neighbour)	16.7	21.9	23.7	12.2	9.7
Total	102,321	40,647	12,426	15,459	33,789

Health-Related Behaviours

Table 47
Residents' Health Behaviours in Past Week:
Good quality exercise

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,478	27.3	9.5	63.2	4.48	1.59
Tillsonburg	12,501	35.0	4.0	61.0	4.39	1.79
Ingersoll	15,459	30.6	17.7	51.7	4.41	1.65
Townships	33,864	28.3	10.6	61.1	4.57	1.50
County Overall	102,572	29.1	10.4	60.5	4.49	1.60

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 48
Residents' Health Behaviours in Past Week:
Regularly ate healthy meals

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,478	22.2	6.2	71.6	4.81	1.51
Tillsonburg	12,501	25.5	7.2	67.3	4.69	1.57
Ingersoll	15,459	20.8	4.2	75.0	4.85	1.51
Townships	33,939	13.0	6.3	80.7	5.15	1.23
County Overall	102,647	19.4	6.0	74.6	4.91	1.44

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Democratic Engagement



Participation in Democratic Activities

Table 49a
Residents Participating in Democratic Activities During the Past 12 Months

“Attended a municipal council meeting, neighbourhood meeting, and/or a local planning meeting or open house”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents			
		Never	Sometimes	Very often	All the time
Woodstock	40,691	76.0	17.0	4.6	2.5
Tillsonburg	12,379	74.1	21.3	3.3	1.3
Ingersoll	15,402	83.2	12.3	2.8	1.7
Townships	33,779	55.6	35.1	3.5	5.7
County Overall	102,251	70.1	22.8	3.8	3.3

Table 49b
Residents Participating in Democratic Activities During the Past 12 Months

“Participated in a public demonstration or protest, wrote a letter or email to a local official, or to the media”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents			
		Never	Sometimes	Very often	All the time
Woodstock	40,691	75.4	21.9	2.4	0.4
Tillsonburg	12,501	69.5	27.2	2.5	0.8
Ingersoll	15,403	68.9	28.0	2.4	0.7
Townships	33,836	63.9	31.9	2.9	1.2
County Overall	102,431	69.9	26.8	2.6	0.8

Table 49c
Residents Participating in Democratic Activities During the Past 12 Months

“Participated in a local event in support of a charitable organization or my community”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents			
		Never	Sometimes	Very often	All the time
Woodstock	40,587	42.8	37.4	14.9	4.9
Tillsonburg	12,501	42.3	43.9	10.9	2.9
Ingersoll	15,459	28.5	54.8	11.1	5.6
Townships	33,835	30.5	43.4	14.4	11.6
County Overall	102,382	36.5	42.8	13.7	7.0

Table 50
Residents who Feel Programmes and Services of Local Government Have Made Them Better Off^a

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Worse off	Not made any difference	Better off	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	35,484	13.8	48.8	37.4	4.27	1.21
Tillsonburg	10,588	17.9	57.6	24.5	4.10	1.19
Ingersoll	12,470	16.4	46.4	37.2	4.33	1.34
Townships	29,372	14.6	54.7	30.7	4.22	1.16
County Overall	87,914	14.9	51.5	33.6	4.24	1.21

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Worse off*; 4 = *Have not made any difference*; and 5 to 7 = *Better off*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater feelings that they are better off.

Feelings of Influence on Government (Political Efficacy)

Table 51a
Residents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Government:

"I consider myself to be well-qualified to participate in politics"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,794	19.2	24.3	56.5	4.57	1.42
Tillsonburg	12,501	24.6	17.2	58.3	4.50	1.63
Ingersoll	15,459	29.8	25.4	44.9	4.20	1.51
Townships	33,624	23.1	18.6	58.3	4.63	1.43
County Overall	102,378	22.7	21.7	55.6	4.53	1.47

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 51b
Residents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Government:

"I feel I have a pretty good understanding of the important issues facing our region"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,795	9.0	15.0	76.0	4.97	1.13
Tillsonburg	12,369	10.0	12.3	77.7	5.07	1.23
Ingersoll	15,459	20.2	16.0	63.8	4.62	1.27
Townships	33,698	11.7	13.4	75.0	4.96	1.16
County Overall	102,321	11.7	14.3	74.0	4.93	1.18

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 51c
Residents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Government:

"People like me do not have any say about what government does"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,795	43.6	15.6	40.8	4.14	1.69
Tillsonburg	12,501	41.1	11.2	47.7	4.17	1.68
Ingersoll	15,459	42.8	23.6	33.5	3.94	1.46
Townships	33,656	45.0	15.6	39.4	3.95	1.69
County Overall	102,411	43.6	16.3	40.1	4.05	1.66

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 51d
Residents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Government:

"I feel I could do as good a job in public office as most people"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,795	30.4	20.9	48.7	4.31	1.54
Tillsonburg	12,501	27.0	31.0	42.0	4.16	1.44
Ingersoll	15,460	42.0	18.5	39.5	3.88	1.59
Townships	33,610	30.9	26.1	43.0	4.25	1.44
County Overall	102,366	31.9	23.5	44.6	4.21	1.51

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 51e
Residents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Government:

"I do not think public officials care much what people like me think"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,657	36.7	17.7	45.7	4.34	1.61
Tillsonburg	12,500	33.7	19.8	46.5	4.28	1.50
Ingersoll	15,403	32.1	35.1	32.8	4.10	1.36
Townships	33,698	40.6	18.0	41.4	4.14	1.58
County Overall	102,258	36.9	20.7	42.4	4.23	1.55

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 51f
Residents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Government:

"I think I am as well-informed about politics and government as most people"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,738	17.0	16.8	66.1	4.82	1.46
Tillsonburg	12,426	15.7	14.3	70.0	4.83	1.34
Ingersoll	15,272	20.6	17.0	62.3	4.61	1.51
Townships	33,657	11.8	12.9	75.3	5.05	1.20
County Overall	102,093	15.7	15.3	69.0	4.87	1.38

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 51f
Residents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Government:

"I do not think my vote really matters"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,748	46.3	13.5	40.1	3.85	1.90
Tillsonburg	12,454	58.7	11.0	30.2	3.54	1.79
Ingersoll	15,413	46.9	21.0	32.1	3.75	1.65
Townships	33,553	55.8	13.9	30.3	3.61	1.66
County Overall	102,168	51.0	14.4	34.5	3.72	1.78

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 52 – Summary
Residents' Perceptions of Their Influence on Government (*Political Efficacy*):
Summary Statistics^a

Influence on Government	County Overall	Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Political efficacy	4.37 (0.91)	4.37 (0.91)	4.35 (0.90)	4.21 (0.96)	4.47 (0.88)
Total	102,410	40,795	12,501	15,459	33,656

Notes: ^a Mean political efficacy with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater feelings of influence on government

Environment



Perceptions of Environment

Table 53a
Residents' Perceptions of the Environment in Oxford County:

"The quality of the natural environment in *my neighbourhood* is very high"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,795	19.6	10.6	69.8	4.74	1.37
Tillsonburg	12,501	17.1	11.7	71.3	4.84	1.20
Ingersoll	15,383	18.7	11.8	69.5	4.87	1.51
Townships	33,802	16.5	8.0	75.5	4.96	1.48
County Overall	102,481	18.1	10.1	71.8	4.84	1.41

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 53b
Residents' Perceptions of the Environment in Oxford County:

"The quality of the natural environment in *my town/city* is very high"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,794	25.4	10.6	64.0	4.50	1.37
Tillsonburg	12,500	16.5	14.3	69.3	4.77	1.19
Ingersoll	15,459	18.5	15.8	65.8	4.68	1.32
Townships	33,669	18.0	14.0	68.0	4.66	1.39
County Overall	102,422	20.8	13.0	66.2	4.61	1.35

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 53c
Residents' Perceptions of the Environment in Oxford County:

"There are plenty of opportunities to enjoy nature in *my neighbourhood*"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,739	20.9	8.2	70.9	4.77	1.47
Tillsonburg	12,454	19.2	4.7	76.1	4.97	1.37
Ingersoll	15,458	23.1	10.8	66.1	4.78	1.44
Townships	33,836	20.9	6.6	72.5	4.92	1.58
County Overall	102,487	21.0	7.6	71.3	4.84	1.49

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 53d
Residents' Perceptions of the Environment in Oxford County:

"There are plenty of opportunities to enjoy nature in *my town/city*"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,720	12.0	7.2	80.8	5.12	1.31
Tillsonburg	12,501	14.6	5.2	80.2	5.11	1.21
Ingersoll	15,459	15.6	8.9	75.5	4.93	1.24
Townships	33,685	24.6	10.7	64.6	4.67	1.46
County Overall	102,365	17.0	8.4	74.6	4.94	1.35

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 53e
Residents' Perceptions of the Environment in Oxford County:

"Traffic congestion in our community is a problem"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,794	43.0	13.7	43.3	4.07	1.63
Tillsonburg	12,501	52.4	8.1	39.6	3.93	1.79
Ingersoll	15,382	65.3	16.6	18.1	3.09	1.53
Townships	33,939	63.7	6.4	29.8	3.31	1.79
County Overall	102,616	54.3	11.1	34.6	3.65	1.74

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 53f
Residents' Perceptions of the Environment in Oxford County:

"The air quality in our community is very good"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,692	14.2	25.1	60.8	4.66	1.22
Tillsonburg	12,500	15.8	22.8	61.4	4.63	1.13
Ingersoll	15,459	17.4	24.5	58.1	4.75	1.37
Townships	33,835	11.5	12.4	76.1	5.18	1.22
County Overall	102,486	14.0	20.5	65.5	4.84	1.26

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 53g
Residents' Perceptions of the Environment in Oxford County:

"The water quality in our community is very good"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,738	21.0	17.7	61.3	4.59	1.45
Tillsonburg	12,501	20.6	19.5	59.9	4.58	1.30
Ingersoll	15,459	48.0	11.9	40.1	3.81	1.73
Townships	33,835	18.8	14.4	66.7	4.74	1.49
County Overall	102,533	24.3	16.0	59.7	4.52	1.52

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 53h
Residents' Perceptions of the Environment in Oxford County:

"I feel I have a personal responsibility to help protect the natural environment"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,719	3.0	10.3	86.7	5.52	1.11
Tillsonburg	12,501	4.6	10.4	85.0	5.48	1.09
Ingersoll	15,458	2.5	17.0	80.5	5.38	1.04
Townships	33,760	3.7	9.0	87.4	5.57	1.09
County Overall	102,438	3.4	10.9	85.8	5.51	1.09

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 53i
Residents' Perceptions of the Environment in Oxford County:

"I regularly participate in events organized by local groups to protect the natural environment (e.g., protests, fund raising)"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,738	60.5	24.2	15.4	3.09	1.45
Tillsonburg	12,501	69.4	18.2	12.5	3.07	1.38
Ingersoll	15,383	58.7	19.2	22.1	3.29	1.38
Townships	33,863	59.1	25.1	15.8	3.19	1.40
County Overall	102,485	60.8	23.0	16.2	3.15	1.42

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities

Table 54a
Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months

"REUSE materials (e.g., plastic bottles, plastic bags, tins cans)"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,750	3.1	15.6	17.8	25.1	38.3
Tillsonburg	12,502	6.7	14.7	19.0	19.5	40.1
Ingersoll	15,458	3.1	13.1	14.1	36.8	32.8
Townships	33,893	1.3	13.7	21.7	21.9	41.3
County Overall	102,603	3.0	14.5	18.7	25.1	38.7

Table 54b
Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months

“RECYCLE materials (e.g., plastics, tin cans, cardboard, etc.)”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,794	0.0	2.7	9.2	9.4	78.7
Tillsonburg	12,502	0.6	0.0	12.9	7.5	79.0
Ingersoll	15,404	0.4	7.2	9.1	4.5	78.7
Townships	33,883	0.6	2.5	10.9	11.6	74.4
County Overall	102,583	0.3	3.0	10.2	9.2	77.3

Table 54c
Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months

“Try to reduce household waste”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,796	1.2	7.7	16.8	23.9	50.4
Tillsonburg	12,501	0.4	13.9	22.3	17.4	46.1
Ingersoll	15,458	1.4	19.7	18.0	17.3	43.7
Townships	33,865	1.8	9.7	22.0	22.8	43.7
County Overall	102,620	1.3	10.9	19.4	21.7	46.6

Table 54d
Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months

“SEPARATE waste (e.g., sort biodegradable and non-biodegradable waste and dispose of it in special containers)”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,796	21.5	13.8	10.5	13.8	40.5
Tillsonburg	12,427	23.6	16.6	10.7	11.2	37.8
Ingersoll	15,337	22.9	16.5	8.1	14.9	37.5
Townships	33,938	18.1	11.7	11.9	15.0	43.3
County Overall	102,498	20.8	13.8	10.6	14.0	40.7

Table 54e

Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months

“CONSERVE ENERGY (e.g., buy energy efficient bulbs and appliances, turn off lights)”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,732	0.6	7.3	14.1	24.8	53.3
Tillsonburg	12,502	1.3	3.9	14.5	23.5	56.8
Ingersoll	15,413	0.7	8.2	20.0	24.5	46.6
Townships	33,939	0.3	7.1	16.7	24.9	50.9
County Overall	102,586	0.6	6.9	15.9	24.6	51.9

Table 54f

Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months

“CONSERVE WATER (e.g., not leaving the water tap running, take shorter showers)”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,509	1.2	8.7	29.0	24.8	36.3
Tillsonburg	12,501	2.7	10.1	18.4	26.5	42.3
Ingersoll	15,459	2.4	18.1	15.1	31.2	33.2
Townships	33,893	1.1	11.8	19.8	24.6	42.7
County Overall	102,362	1.5	11.3	22.6	25.9	38.7

Table 54g

Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months

“Walk, bike, or use mobility device more often (rather than use personal car)”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,619	20.5	40.2	11.4	14.2	13.8
Tillsonburg	12,454	23.1	30.9	14.6	17.1	14.3
Ingersoll	15,458	16.4	36.3	15.9	14.4	17.1
Townships	33,529	32.3	39.4	11.7	9.7	6.9
County Overall	102,602	24.0	38.2	12.6	13.1	12.1

Table 54h
Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months
“Take public transit rather than use personal car”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,795	77.9	10.1	4.8	1.6	5.6
Tillsonburg	12,426	90.5	4.9	1.2	1.6	1.9
Ingersoll	15,308	77.9	12.2	2.0	0.0	7.9
Townships	33,515	91.7	7.3	0.6	0.1	0.3
County Overall	102,044	83.9	8.9	2.6	0.9	3.8

Table 54i
Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months
“Carpool or use car share”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,720	58.3	23.5	9.6	5.6	3.0
Tillsonburg	12,156	63.3	28.1	4.6	2.6	1.5
Ingersoll	15,355	46.5	25.4	5.7	16.7	5.6
Townships	33,818	51.0	32.2	7.8	5.9	3.1
County Overall	102,049	54.7	27.2	7.8	7.0	3.3

Table 54j
Residents Participation in Resource Conservation and Sustainable Activities in Past 12 Months
“Purchase foods produced locally”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,795	2.8	26.9	22.7	31.9	15.7
Tillsonburg	12,501	2.8	26.9	21.0	33.2	16.1
Ingersoll	15,458	4.0	32.8	18.4	22.4	22.4
Townships	33,939	1.2	30.0	20.6	30.1	18.2
County Overall	102,693	2.4	28.8	21.1	30.0	17.6

Residents' Anxiety about Climate Change

Table 55a
Residents' Anxiety about Climate Change:
"I am very worried about climate change"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,720	15.6	8.3	76.2	5.05	1.75
Tillsonburg	12,500	17.6	9.0	73.3	5.02	1.81
Ingersoll	15,459	16.5	12.3	71.2	5.06	1.75
Townships	33,863	27.5	8.3	64.1	4.65	2.04
County Overall	102,542	19.9	9.0	71.1	4.92	1.87

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 55b
Residents' Anxiety about Climate Change:
"People have failed to care for the planet"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,794	6.2	5.7	88.0	5.67	1.37
Tillsonburg	12,501	8.7	6.8	84.5	5.60	1.45
Ingersoll	15,459	12.5	6.9	80.5	5.46	1.46
Townships	33,864	15.2	7.6	77.1	5.22	1.67
County Overall	102,618	10.5	6.7	82.9	5.48	1.51

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 55c
Residents' Anxiety about Climate Change:

"Governments have not taken climate change seriously enough"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,794	19.2	14.8	66.0	5.03	1.79
Tillsonburg	12,500	13.8	16.6	69.6	5.19	1.59
Ingersoll	15,458	14.1	11.4	74.6	5.15	1.69
Townships	33,772	26.8	12.5	60.7	4.65	1.94
County Overall	102,524	20.3	13.7	66.0	4.94	1.82

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 55d
Residents' Anxiety about Climate Change:

"Governments can be trusted to act in line with climate science"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,747	54.4	28.1	17.5	3.18	1.48
Tillsonburg	12,501	60.7	26.3	13.0	3.11	1.35
Ingersoll	15,397	52.2	27.1	20.7	3.31	1.39
Townships	33,864	62.7	22.2	15.1	2.94	1.45
County Overall	102,509	57.6	25.8	16.6	3.11	1.45

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 56 – Summary
Dimensions of Overall Climate Change Anxiety
Summary Statistics

Climate Change Anxiety Dimensions ^a	County Overall	Municipality ^b			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Personal anxiety	5.20 (1.57)	5.36 (1.43)	5.31 (1.51)	5.26 (1.48)	4.93 (1.75)
Government inaction anxiety	4.92 (1.16)	4.95 (1.19)	5.04 (1.12)	4.93 (1.14)	4.85 (1.15)
Overall Climate Change Anxiety	5.06 (1.21)	5.14 (1.13)	5.18 (1.13)	5.10 (1.19)	4.89 (1.30)

Notes: ^a *Personal anxiety* is an average of responses to statements assessing personal worries and feelings that others have failed to act.

Government inaction anxiety is an average of responses to statements concerning government not taking climate change seriously or acting based on science.

^b Mean climate change anxiety with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater feelings of anxiety about climate change.

Leisure and Culture



Participation in Physically Active Leisure

Team Sports

Table 57a
Residents' Typical Monthly Participation in Physically Active Leisure:
Team Sports (e.g., baseball, hockey, volleyball, basketball)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b			
		Never	Once per week or less	More than once per week	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,723	80.0	14.9	5.1	0	30	0.87	2.55
Tillsonburg	12,425	87.2	7.7	5.1	0	30	0.76	2.64
Ingersoll	15,280	77.0	13.7	9.3	0	25	1.50	3.88
Townships	33,532	79.0	13.9	7.1	0	25	1.02	2.52
County Overall	100,960	80.1	13.5	6.4	0	30	1.00	2.80

Notes: ^a Categories based on percentages of respondents' reported level of participation.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in team sports per month.

Individual Sports

Table 57b
Residents' Typical Monthly Participation in Physically Active Leisure:

Individual sports (e.g., tennis, badminton, skiing)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b			
		Never	Once per week or less	More than once per week	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,633	75.3	15.0	9.7	0	30	1.38	3.55
Tillsonburg	12,379	75.0	16.3	8.7	0	25	1.33	3.35
Ingersoll	15,458	73.4	15.1	11.5	0	25	1.95	5.06
Townships	33,590	71.4	19.8	8.8	0	30	1.46	3.85
County Overall	101,060	73.7	16.8	9.6	0	30	1.49	3.90

Notes: ^a Categories based on percentages of respondents' reported level of participation.

^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in individual sports per month.

Vigorous Exercise

Table 57c
Residents' Typical Monthly Participation in Physically Active Leisure:

Vigorous Exercise (e.g., aerobics, jogging, weight training)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b			
		Never	Once per week or less	More than once per week	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,640	55.1	12.7	32.2	0	31	4.68	7.26
Tillsonburg	12,238	67.1	8.5	24.4	0	31	3.83	7.15
Ingersoll	15,383	49.6	22.0	28.3	0	30	4.81	7.12
Townships	33,711	53.7	17.5	28.9	0	30	4.48	7.03
County Overall	100,972	55.2	15.2	29.5	0	31	4.53	7.16

Notes: ^a Categories based on percentages of respondents' reported level of participation.

^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in vigorous exercise per month.

Light Exercise

Table 57d
Residents' Typical Monthly Participation in Physically Active Leisure:

Light Exercise (e.g., going for a walk, bicycling)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b			
		Never	Once per week or less	More than once per week	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,948	7.4	15.9	76.7	0	31	14.94	10.73
Tillsonburg	12,349	11.4	11.3	77.3	0	31	14.88	10.77
Ingersoll	15,412	10.8	13.5	75.7	0	31	13.64	10.50
Townships	33,757	6.7	13.6	79.7	0	31	14.80	10.16
County Overall	101,466	8.2	14.2	77.6	0	31	14.69	10.52

Notes: ^a Categories based on percentages of respondents' reported level of participation.

^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in light exercise per month.

Social Leisure Activities

Table 58a
Residents' Typical Monthly Participation in Social Leisure Activities:

Socializing with friends

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,496	88.8	0	31	4.92	5.58
Tillsonburg	12,425	86.1	0	30	4.58	5.57
Ingersoll	15,250	88.7	0	31	5.92	6.32
Townships	33,939	89.2	0	31	5.13	5.09
County Overall	102,110	88.6	0	31	5.10	5.55

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in a typical month.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in social leisure activities in a typical month.

Table 58b
Residents' Typical Monthly Participation in *Social Leisure Activities*:

Going out to movies

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,496	28.2	0	10	0.38	0.87
Tillsonburg	12,500	13.4	0	4	0.15	0.44
Ingersoll	15,459	36.7	0	5	0.47	0.76
Townships	33,370	17.7	0	4	0.20	0.49
County Overall	101,825	24.2	0	10	0.31	0.71

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in a typical month.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in social leisure activities in a typical month.

Table 58c
Residents' Monthly Participation in *Social Leisure Activities*:

Going out to clubs, bars, taverns

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,431	30.2	0	30	0.78	2.16
Tillsonburg	12,231	30.8	0	5	0.62	1.20
Ingersoll	15,299	22.8	0	8	0.47	1.07
Townships	33,534	31.2	0	10	0.67	1.37
County Overall	101,495	29.5	0	30	0.68	1.68

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in a typical month.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in social leisure activities in a typical month.

Table 58d
Residents' Typical Monthly Participation in *Social Leisure Activities*:

Going to sports events as a spectator

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,197	24.7	0	30	0.89	2.85
Tillsonburg	12,350	27.4	0	12	0.77	1.89
Ingersoll	15,402	35.3	0	20	1.32	3.08
Townships	33,712	40.6	0	15	1.18	2.56
County Overall	101,661	31.9	0	30	1.04	2.70

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in a typical month.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in social leisure activities in a typical month.

Table 58e
Residents' Typical Monthly Participation in *Social Leisure Activities*:

Attending arts/cultural events (e.g., book clubs, art classes, open mic performances)

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,440	33.5	0	15	0.67	1.62
Tillsonburg	12,500	26.2	0	6	0.52	1.18
Ingersoll	15,412	36.7	0	10	0.72	1.33
Townships	33,832	32.6	0	30	0.60	1.55
County Overall	102,184	32.8	0	30	0.63	1.51

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in a typical month.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in social leisure activities in a typical month.

Home-Based Leisure Activities

Table 59a
Residents' Typical Weekly Participation in *Home-Based Leisure Activities*:

Reading books, newspapers, and/or magazines for pleasure

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,739	85.7	0	30	6.16	6.79
Tillsonburg	12,425	89.0	0	30	8.07	7.94
Ingersoll	15,459	84.9	0	30	5.91	6.73
Townships	33,877	83.4	0	30	6.36	7.26
County Overall	102,500	85.2	0	30	6.42	7.11

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in a typical week.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in home-based activities in a typical week.

Table 59b
Residents' Typical Weekly Participation in *Home-Based Leisure Activities*:

Playing board or card games

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,608	50.6	0	30	1.50	3.05
Tillsonburg	12,501	43.8	0	30	1.52	3.70
Ingersoll	15,459	61.8	0	30	1.85	2.77
Townships	33,534	53.2	0	30	1.43	2.44
County Overall	102,102	52.3	0	30	1.53	2.92

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in a typical week.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in home-based activities in a typical week.

Table 59c
Residents' Typical Weekly Participation in *Home-Based Leisure Activities*:

Doing puzzles such as crosswords, Sudoku, jigsaw

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,733	55.2	0	30	2.76	4.66
Tillsonburg	12,500	61.8	0	30	4.18	6.34
Ingersoll	15,459	64.4	0	30	3.04	4.25
Townships	33,833	56.6	0	30	3.25	5.19
County Overall	102,525	57.8	0	30	3.14	5.03

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in a typical week.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in home-based activities in a typical week.

Table 59d
Residents' Typical Weekly Participation in *Home-Based Leisure Activities*:

Hobbies such as knitting, crafts, woodworking

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,485	52.0	0	30	1.99	3.77
Tillsonburg	12,426	63.0	0	30	2.94	4.41
Ingersoll	15,459	70.1	0	30	3.08	4.35
Townships	33,833	55.4	0	30	2.30	3.82
County Overall	102,203	57.2	0	30	2.37	3.98

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in a typical week.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation in home-based activities in a typical week.

Arts and Cultural Leisure Activities

Table 60a
Residents' Typical Yearly Participation in *Arts and Cultural Leisure Activities*:

Attending music concerts

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,477	57.9	0	30	1.61	2.74
Tillsonburg	12,500	52.6	0	17	1.64	2.51
Ingersoll	15,459	57.7	0	25	2.30	4.76
Townships	33,878	54.6	0	35	1.50	2.58
County Overall	102,314	56.1	0	35	1.68	3.07

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in the past year.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation arts and cultural leisure activities in the past year.

Table 60b
Residents' Typical Yearly Participation in *Arts and Cultural Leisure Activities*:

Visiting art galleries and/or museums

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,496	49.3	0	20	1.22	2.16
Tillsonburg	12,501	44.6	0	12	1.25	2.28
Ingersoll	15,459	51.5	0	16	1.60	2.72
Townships	33,878	43.2	0	35	1.50	4.01
County Overall	102,334	47.0	0	35	1.37	2.99

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in the past year.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation arts and cultural leisure activities in the past year.

Table 60c
Residents' Typical Yearly Participation in *Arts and Cultural Leisure Activities*:

Attending festivals

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,496	59.6	0	12	1.16	1.39
Tillsonburg	12,500	52.6	0	10	1.21	1.71
Ingersoll	15,459	66.0	0	12	1.45	1.63
Townships	33,877	50.8	0	12	1.06	1.69
County Overall	102,332	56.8	0	12	1.18	1.58

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in the past year.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation arts and cultural leisure activities in the past year.

Table 60d
Residents' Typical Yearly Participation in *Arts and Cultural Leisure Activities*:

Attending dance performances

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,364	17.2	0	20	0.35	1.17
Tillsonburg	12,500	9.8	0	9	0.27	1.01
Ingersoll	15,264	18.8	0	12	0.39	1.22
Townships	33,878	17.9	0	24	0.40	1.65
County Overall	102,006	16.8	0	24	0.36	1.34

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in the past year.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation arts and cultural leisure activities in the past year.

Table 60e
Residents' Typical Yearly Participation in *Arts and Cultural Leisure Activities*:

Attending live theatre

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,552	45.2	0	20	1.39	2.45
Tillsonburg	12,456	52.5	0	20	1.44	2.45
Ingersoll	15,355	54.5	0	18	1.81	2.75
Townships	33,878	47.1	0	30	1.36	2.49
County Overall	102,241	48.1	0	30	1.45	2.52

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report participating in the activity at least once in the past year.
^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates of participation arts and cultural leisure activities in the past year.

Social and Traditional Media Engagement

Table 61a

Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:

Facebook

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,719	24.3	23.4	16.0	15.1	21.2
Tillsonburg	12,501	18.1	17.9	19.6	17.8	26.6
Ingersoll	15,459	14.5	27.0	19.3	20.9	18.2
Townships	33,877	16.3	19.1	24.5	18.4	21.8
County Overall	102,556	19.5	21.8	19.7	17.4	21.6

Table 61b

Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:

WhatsApp

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,794	63.4	15.5	9.2	5.3	6.5
Tillsonburg	12,501	66.8	23.6	5.1	1.2	3.3
Ingersoll	15,176	59.1	21.2	10.8	4.5	4.3
Townships	33,774	47.7	25.9	10.4	10.0	6.0
County Overall	102,245	58.0	20.8	9.3	6.2	5.6

Table 61c

Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:

Messenger (i.e., texting)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,718	11.7	22.7	23.0	19.8	22.7
Tillsonburg	12,501	11.8	18.1	26.3	19.2	24.6
Ingersoll	15,355	9.7	22.6	21.3	22.1	24.3
Townships	33,729	10.7	17.6	20.9	22.9	28.0
County Overall	102,303	11.1	20.4	22.4	21.1	24.9

Table 61d
Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:
X (formerly Twitter)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,614	82.6	8.3	4.8	2.1	2.3
Tillsonburg	12,500	78.0	14.6	3.0	1.0	3.4
Ingersoll	15,354	84.0	10.8	2.9	0.4	1.8
Townships	33,738	76.8	14.7	1.6	2.8	4.1
County Overall	102,196	80.3	11.6	3.2	1.9	2.9

Table 61e
Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:
Snapchat

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,719	77.7	11.4	3.0	4.7	3.2
Tillsonburg	12,500	81.7	8.5	2.6	2.2	5.0
Ingersoll	15,355	68.0	16.8	7.4	3.7	4.0
Townships	33,774	73.6	13.9	2.5	3.9	6.1
County Overall	102,348	75.4	12.7	3.5	4.0	4.5

Table 61f
Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:
YouTube

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,795	8.4	35.5	17.3	16.4	22.5
Tillsonburg	12,500	10.5	47.9	14.3	12.7	14.6
Ingersoll	15,459	11.7	39.1	19.7	10.8	18.7
Townships	33,833	8.8	38.8	23.9	15.7	12.7
County Overall	102,587	9.3	38.7	19.5	14.9	17.7

Table 61g
Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:

Reddit

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,644	54.3	23.5	8.4	8.0	5.8
Tillsonburg	12,501	68.3	20.5	2.9	3.5	4.8
Ingersoll	15,355	67.8	20.9	4.8	2.2	4.3
Townships	33,699	71.5	19.4	4.1	3.7	1.3
County Overall	102,199	63.7	21.4	5.8	5.2	4.0

Table 61h
Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:

TikTok

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,718	69.5	10.0	4.4	9.4	6.7
Tillsonburg	12,499	70.7	14.9	1.1	4.3	9.0
Ingersoll	15,309	73.7	13.4	2.8	3.4	6.6
Townships	33,775	77.3	9.5	3.2	3.9	6.1
County Overall	102,301	72.9	10.9	3.4	6.1	6.8

Table 61i
Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:

Instagram

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,286	39.7	20.2	14.7	12.2	13.3
Tillsonburg	12,427	55.3	18.0	4.2	9.2	13.3
Ingersoll	15,459	36.5	26.8	7.4	16.0	13.4
Townships	33,775	41.3	19.2	12.6	17.7	9.2
County Overall	101,947	41.6	20.6	11.6	14.2	11.9

Table 61j
Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:

Linkedin

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,644	63.8	25.8	4.0	2.8	3.7
Tillsonburg	12,501	68.8	23.1	6.2	1.3	0.6
Ingersoll	15,459	73.0	17.8	3.2	2.2	3.8
Townships	33,636	64.1	25.6	8.2	1.2	1.0
County Overall	102,240	65.9	24.2	5.5	2.0	2.4

Table 61k
Residents Engagement with Social Media and Other Communication Tools on a Typical Day:

Zoom/Facetime/Skype

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,644	51.7	29.4	10.0	3.5	5.5
Tillsonburg	12,425	43.0	39.7	10.4	2.9	4.0
Ingersoll	15,356	41.2	43.7	2.7	6.9	5.5
Townships	33,728	36.5	45.0	8.1	6.3	4.0
County Overall	102,153	44.0	38.0	8.4	4.9	4.8

Note: Other than the applications listed in the tables above, the only other social media and communication tool mentioned by at least 1% of residents was “email”.

Table 62
Social Media and Communication Tools Receiving Most Frequent Daily Use
By Oxford County Residents
Rank Order by Frequency of Daily Use

Social Media and Communication Tools	Mean Rank ^a
Messenger (Texting)	3.28
Facebook	2.99
YouTube	2.93
Instagram	2.37
Zoom/Facetime	1.89
WhatsApp	1.82
Reddit	1.64
TikTok	1.64
Snapchat	1.52
Linkedin	1.51
X (Twitter)	1.37

Note: ^a Social media and communication tools in rank order based on mean ranks of use (5-point index).

Primary Purpose for Using Social Media

Table 63a
Residents' Primary Purpose for Using Social Media and Other Communication Tools:

News

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	39,614	15.4	34.6	23.9	13.0	13.1
Tillsonburg	12,275	19.3	27.2	25.5	16.5	11.4
Ingersoll	14,944	18.7	43.3	17.3	14.9	5.9
Townships	32,499	11.9	40.2	21.7	14.2	12.1
County Overall	99,332	15.2	36.8	22.4	14.1	11.5

Table 63b
Residents' Primary Purpose for Using Social Media and Other Communication Tools:

Information about community resources, benefits, and/or programs

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,390	15.4	41.6	18.1	17.2	7.7
Tillsonburg	12,277	13.1	40.5	22.5	14.7	9.2
Ingersoll	15,293	13.1	44.2	23.2	15.1	4.4
Townships	33,747	12.5	39.4	26.2	15.7	6.2
County Overall	101,707	13.8	41.1	22.1	16.1	6.9

Table 63c
Residents' Primary Purpose for Using Social Media and Other Communication Tools:

Health care information

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,309	38.1	38.9	11.9	7.1	4.0
Tillsonburg	12,501	37.2	38.7	11.4	7.2	5.5
Ingersoll	15,415	35.8	44.1	7.0	10.9	2.2
Townships	33,786	38.5	43.5	8.6	6.5	3.0
County Overall	102,011	37.8	41.2	10.0	7.5	3.6

Table 63d
Residents' Primary Purpose for Using Social Media and Other Communication Tools:

Communicating with others and/or with others who share similar interests

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,569	10.0	19.5	25.8	25.5	19.2
Tillsonburg	12,456	13.6	28.3	15.6	19.3	23.3
Ingersoll	15,414	7.9	20.8	32.9	16.1	22.2
Townships	33,878	9.4	28.8	22.8	26.4	12.7
County Overall	102,317	9.9	23.8	24.6	23.6	18.0

Table 63e
Residents' Primary Purpose for Using Social Media and Other Communication Tools:

Connecting with family and friends

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,560	8.4	18.6	21.8	20.2	31.0
Tillsonburg	12,409	7.6	23.5	18.5	21.0	29.5
Ingersoll	15,414	4.5	12.3	28.3	21.7	33.2
Townships	33,803	5.3	22.7	20.3	25.9	25.7
County Overall	102,186	6.7	19.6	21.9	22.4	29.4

Table 63f
Residents' Primary Purpose for Using Social Media and Other Communication Tools:

Entertainment

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,541	7.0	17.8	20.4	26.4	28.4
Tillsonburg	12,500	11.5	26.1	23.9	17.4	21.1
Ingersoll	15,414	7.8	18.6	24.2	24.5	25.0
Townships	33,653	7.5	25.6	22.3	28.8	15.9
County Overall	102,108	7.8	21.5	22.0	25.8	22.9

Note: Reasons other than those reported in the tables above for using social media and communication tools were mentioned by fewer than five respondents.

Table 64
Primary Purposes for Using Social Media and Communication Tools
By Oxford County Residents
Rank Order by Frequency of Used for Each Purpose

Primary Purposes	Mean Rank ^a
For connecting with family and friends	3.48
For entertainment	3.35
For communicating with others who share similar interests	3.15
For news	2.70
For information about community resources/benefits/programs	2.60
For health care information	2.00

Note: ^a Social media and communication tools in rank order based on mean ranks of purpose (5-point index).

Time Spent Using Social and Other Traditional Media

Table 65
Residents' Time Spent on **Social Media** on a Typical Day (in hours)

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	36,692	96.3	0	12.5	2.79	2.28
Tillsonburg	10,677	94.6	0	20.0	2.63	2.62
Ingersoll	14,488	95.4	0	12.6	3.13	2.49
Townships	30,411	99.3	0	12.0	2.30	1.63
County Overall	92,268	96.9	0	20.0	2.66	2.19

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report engaging with social media on a daily basis.

^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates in hours of engagement with social media on a typical day.

Table 66
Residents' Time Spent on **Computer-Related Leisure Activities** on a Typical Day (in hours)

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	37,814	85.2	0	15.0	2.53	2.79
Tillsonburg	10,831	81.9	0	16.0	2.40	3.06
Ingersoll	14,402	82.0	0	10.0	2.19	2.07
Townships	29,983	78.0	0	12.0	1.67	1.71
County Overall	93,030	82.0	0	16.0	2.18	2.45

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report engaged in computer-related leisure activities on a daily basis.

^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates in hours engaging in computer-related activities on a typical day.

Table 67
Residents' Time Spent **Watching Television or Streaming Shows/Movies Online** on a Typical Day (in hours)

Municipality	Participation Rate ^a		Summary Statistics ^b			
	n	Pct.	Min.	Max.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,899	92.6	0	20.0	2.83	2.66
Tillsonburg	12,116	95.0	0	10.0	3.01	2.03
Ingersoll	14,339	95.1	0	12.1	2.75	2.42
Townships	31,397	91.9	0	14.0	2.37	2.02
County Overall	96,751	93.0	0	20.0	2.69	2.36

Notes: ^a Percentage of residents who report watching television or streaming movies/shows on a daily basis.

^b Minimum, maximum, and average rates in hours watching television or streaming movies/shows on a typical day.

Use of Community Recreation and Cultural Facilities

Table 68a
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:

Community complex / recreation centre

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,078	51.5	28.1	8.2	5.7	6.5
Tillsonburg	12,425	50.3	30.2	4.8	10.4	4.4
Ingersoll	15,458	34.8	38.9	12.3	6.7	7.2
Townships	33,622	39.6	41.3	9.7	6.8	2.6
County Overall	101,583	44.9	34.4	8.9	6.8	5.1

Table 68b
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:

Arena (for skating)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,230	78.7	14.4	1.9	2.8	2.1
Tillsonburg	12,501	83.8	8.9	5.3	0.9	1.1
Ingersoll	15,460	66.7	22.6	4.1	2.5	4.1
Townships	33,775	70.2	20.2	2.9	5.2	1.4
County Overall	101,966	74.7	16.9	3.0	3.3	2.0

Table 68c
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:

Outdoor skating rink

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,093	90.1	8.2	0.9	0.6	0.2
Tillsonburg	12,425	91.7	6.8	1.1	0.0	0.4
Ingersoll	15,250	93.0	6.1	0.4	0.0	0.5
Townships	33,607	84.4	12.8	1.2	1.5	0.1
County Overall	101,375	88.8	9.2	1.0	0.7	0.3

Table 68d
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:

Local parks, playgrounds, and/or trails

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,240	10.5	34.2	21.3	17.4	16.7
Tillsonburg	12,501	18.1	38.3	16.1	18.1	9.4
Ingersoll	15,414	10.8	34.9	22.6	15.9	15.7
Townships	33,773	17.9	42.0	20.9	12.7	6.4
County Overall	101,928	13.9	37.4	20.7	15.7	12.3

Table 68e
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:

Sports fields (e.g., soccer, baseball, disk golf)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,164	71.7	17.9	5.7	2.0	2.7
Tillsonburg	12,443	78.4	13.4	4.8	2.2	1.1
Ingersoll	15,402	55.6	26.7	6.9	7.8	3.0
Townships	33,727	68.5	18.8	7.0	4.0	1.7
County Overall	101,736	69.0	19.0	6.2	3.6	2.2

Table 68f
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Other outdoor sports facilities (e.g., golf, tennis, basketball, pickle ball)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,104	69.6	18.6	6.9	3.8	1.0
Tillsonburg	12,455	72.3	16.6	5.4	3.5	2.2
Ingersoll	15,326	66.4	24.0	5.5	2.4	1.6
Townships	33,518	69.8	18.0	7.2	3.3	1.6
County Overall	101,403	69.5	19.0	6.6	3.4	1.4

Table 68g
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Indoor swimming pool

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,062	73.2	15.0	3.6	4.3	3.8
Tillsonburg	12,500	81.3	13.1	2.0	3.2	0.4
Ingersoll	15,458	58.7	26.8	7.6	4.7	2.2
Townships	33,681	77.8	15.0	3.6	1.8	1.8
County Overall	101,701	73.5	16.5	4.0	3.4	2.5

Table 68h
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:
Outdoor swimming pool, splash pads, and/or wading pools

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	39,906	77.1	13.5	4.9	3.2	1.2
Tillsonburg	12,455	78.5	13.7	3.7	2.4	1.7
Ingersoll	15,385	64.5	25.3	6.6	1.6	1.9
Townships	33,652	72.1	17.0	5.4	3.3	2.2
County Overall	101,398	73.7	16.5	5.2	2.9	1.7

Table 68i
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:

Public library

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,155	42.2	29.4	13.0	7.6	7.7
Tillsonburg	12,454	43.9	30.4	13.7	3.6	8.4
Ingersoll	15,458	31.6	33.5	18.6	7.5	8.7
Townships	33,877	39.5	37.6	10.7	5.9	6.2
County Overall	101,944	39.9	32.9	13.1	6.6	7.5

Table 68j
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:

An historic site

(e.g., Annandale National Historic Site, Ingersoll Cheese Museum)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	39,809	68.1	27.1	3.5	1.1	0.1
Tillsonburg	12,500	61.3	33.3	4.0	1.1	0.4
Ingersoll	15,458	44.1	47.0	4.0	4.6	0.3
Townships	33,728	67.9	29.0	2.1	0.8	0.1
County Overall	101,495	63.6	31.5	3.2	1.5	0.2

Table 68k
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:

Performing arts facility

(e.g., Theatre Woodstock, Theatre Tillsonburg)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some-times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	40,286	58.5	31.6	6.3	2.0	1.4
Tillsonburg	12,501	63.2	31.9	3.2	0.7	1.0
Ingersoll	15,414	65.2	25.7	3.3	4.1	1.7
Townships	33,774	70.1	25.6	2.4	1.8	0.1
County Overall	101,975	63.9	28.8	4.2	2.1	1.0

Table 68
Residents' Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year:

Visual arts facility
(e.g., Station Arts Centre, Ingersoll Creative Arts Centre, Woodstock Art Gallery)

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some- times	Regularly	Quite often	All the time
Woodstock	39,973	70.1	27.0	1.6	0.7	0.6
Tillsonburg	12,439	66.8	29.4	3.8	0.0	0.0
Ingersoll	15,459	68.7	26.8	1.0	3.2	0.3
Townships	33,774	83.8	14.4	0.5	1.0	0.4
County Overall	101,645	74.0	23.1	1.4	1.1	0.4

Table 69
Most Frequently Used Recreation and Cultural Facilities During the Past Year
By Oxford County Residents
Rank Order by Use of Recreation and Cultural Facilities

Recreation and Cultural Facilities	Mean Rank ^a
Local parks/playgrounds/trails	2.73
Public library	2.09
Community complex/recreation centre	1.95
Sports fields	1.53
Other outdoor sports facilities	1.50
Performing arts facility	1.47
Indoor swimming pool	1.45
An historic site	1.44
Outdoor swimming pool/splash pads/wading pools	1.43
Arena (for skating)	1.41
Visual arts facility	1.32
Outdoor skating rink	1.15

Notes: ^a Recreation and cultural facilities in rank order based on mean ranks of use (5-point index).

Perceived Accessibility of Community Recreation and Cultural Facilities

Table 70a
Residents' Perceptions of Accessibility to Recreation and Cultural Facilities:

"The recreation and culture facilities are easy for me to get to"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,541	7.2	21.4	71.4	4.98	1.17
Tillsonburg	11,712	10.3	10.3	79.4	5.15	1.37
Ingersoll	15,025	6.4	17.4	76.2	5.21	1.22
Townships	33,004	15.3	19.4	65.3	4.78	1.35
County Overall	99,282	10.1	18.8	71.1	4.97	1.28

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 70b
Residents' Perceptions of Accessibility to Recreation and Cultural Facilities:

"There are places nearby where I can take classes for my own interest"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,719	22.4	32.2	45.5	4.33	1.30
Tillsonburg	11,656	16.0	24.5	59.5	4.50	1.41
Ingersoll	15,003	20.7	15.6	63.6	4.75	1.34
Townships	32,969	27.0	32.3	40.8	4.11	1.37
County Overall	99,347	22.9	28.8	48.3	4.34	1.36

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 70c
Residents' Perceptions of Accessibility to Recreation and Cultural Facilities:

"Recreation and culture programs are offered at times that are convenient to me"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,679	25.4	37.1	37.5	4.08	1.28
Tillsonburg	11,410	31.8	34.6	33.6	3.91	1.30
Ingersoll	14,290	22.7	42.8	34.5	4.16	1.14
Townships	32,542	24.0	40.3	35.7	4.11	1.24
County Overall	96,921	25.3	38.7	36.0	4.08	1.25

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 70d
Residents' Perceptions of Accessibility to Recreation and Cultural Facilities:

"There is a local park nearby that is easy for me to get to"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,942	4.4	3.7	91.9	5.74	1.30
Tillsonburg	11,882	12.3	9.4	78.3	5.16	1.42
Ingersoll	14,772	6.5	9.2	84.3	5.58	1.39
Townships	32,663	11.6	5.7	82.8	5.29	1.35
County Overall	99,259	8.0	5.8	86.1	5.50	1.37

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 70e
Residents' Perceptions of Accessibility to Recreation and Cultural Facilities:

"Childcare is available at the recreation facilities if I need to use it"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	24,686	24.1	68.0	7.9	3.62	1.05
Tillsonburg	7,046	26.3	69.4	4.3	3.46	1.21
Ingersoll	9,067	23.3	59.9	16.8	3.82	1.13
Townships	22,218	33.2	61.0	5.8	3.47	1.06
County Overall	63,017	27.4	64.6	8.0	3.58	1.09

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 70f
Residents' Perceptions of Accessibility to Recreation and Cultural Facilities:

"The cost of public recreation and culture programs prevents me from participating"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	37,529	43.0	30.0	27.0	3.75	1.50
Tillsonburg	11,475	51.9	21.9	26.1	3.68	1.59
Ingersoll	14,788	43.0	24.4	32.6	3.68	1.62
Townships	31,266	56.6	27.6	15.8	3.35	1.35
County Overall	95,058	48.6	27.4	24.1	3.60	1.49

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 70g
Residents' Perceptions of Accessibility to Recreation and Cultural Facilities:

"The recreation and cultural facilities are very welcoming to me"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	36,802	8.9	42.0	49.1	4.60	1.05
Tillsonburg	11,221	12.5	36.7	50.7	4.50	1.12
Ingersoll	14,619	9.4	40.7	49.9	4.70	1.14
Townships	30,536	8.6	42.9	48.5	4.63	1.10
County Overall	93,178	9.3	41.4	49.2	4.61	1.09

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Perceptions of the Leisure Experience

Table 71a
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:

"My leisure provides opportunities to try new things"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,663	16.5	24.6	58.9	4.54	1.06
Tillsonburg	12,501	14.0	26.6	59.4	4.58	0.97
Ingersoll	15,459	12.9	22.8	64.3	4.62	1.07
Townships	33,727	19.2	24.7	56.2	4.43	1.12
County Overall	102,350	16.5	24.6	58.9	4.52	1.08

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71b
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:

"My leisure provides me with opportunities for social interaction with others"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,720	12.0	21.0	67.0	4.74	1.08
Tillsonburg	12,500	14.2	19.3	66.4	4.65	1.10
Ingersoll	15,412	13.4	18.6	67.9	4.73	1.14
Townships	33,717	18.2	19.5	62.3	4.55	1.19
County Overall	102,349	14.5	19.9	65.5	4.67	1.13

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71c
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:
"My leisure helps me to relax"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,748	6.5	13.6	79.9	5.02	1.07
Tillsonburg	12,501	3.4	11.4	85.1	5.18	0.93
Ingersoll	15,403	5.7	16.3	78.0	5.12	1.16
Townships	33,774	6.9	11.9	81.2	5.02	1.02
County Overall	102,426	6.1	13.2	80.7	5.05	1.06

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71d
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:
"I participate in leisure that develops my physical fitness"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,658	19.3	17.8	62.9	4.55	1.34
Tillsonburg	12,379	21.4	16.5	62.2	4.48	1.37
Ingersoll	15,319	19.8	26.2	54.0	4.49	1.28
Townships	33,652	21.6	15.9	62.4	4.56	1.25
County Overall	102,008	20.4	18.3	61.3	4.54	1.30

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71e
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:
"My leisure helps me to learn about myself"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,526	14.3	30.1	55.6	4.55	1.13
Tillsonburg	12,501	19.0	35.5	45.5	4.35	1.24
Ingersoll	15,413	16.0	31.9	52.1	4.48	1.18
Townships	33,727	13.0	37.8	49.2	4.45	0.95
County Overall	102,167	14.7	33.6	51.7	4.48	1.10

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71f
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:

"My leisure has helped me to develop close relationships with others"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,720	24.7	25.4	49.9	4.34	1.25
Tillsonburg	12,501	33.8	17.6	48.5	4.12	1.48
Ingersoll	15,308	30.3	23.7	46.0	4.15	1.27
Townships	33,774	28.5	27.1	44.4	4.21	1.25
County Overall	102,303	27.9	24.8	47.3	4.24	1.28

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71g
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:

"My leisure helps relieve stress"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,720	6.3	13.6	80.1	5.09	1.15
Tillsonburg	12,501	5.4	16.7	77.9	5.04	1.13
Ingersoll	15,458	8.9	15.6	75.5	5.09	1.28
Townships	33,774	4.4	15.7	79.8	5.03	0.92
County Overall	102,453	6.0	15.0	79.0	5.06	1.10

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71h
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:

"I participate in leisure that restores me physically"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,674	17.1	20.4	62.5	4.58	1.31
Tillsonburg	12,501	18.1	25.3	56.6	4.44	1.38
Ingersoll	15,412	14.6	31.6	53.8	4.55	1.26
Townships	33,774	12.9	23.6	63.5	4.68	1.08
County Overall	102,361	15.5	23.7	60.8	4.59	1.24

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71i
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:
"My leisure helps me to learn about other people"

Municipality	N	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,448	18.1	30.6	51.4	4.41	1.23
Tillsonburg	12,501	24.7	33.6	41.7	4.18	1.35
Ingersoll	15,458	20.4	36.5	43.1	4.23	1.24
Townships	33,774	21.2	36.3	42.5	4.28	1.07
County Overall	102,181	20.3	33.7	46.0	4.31	1.20

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71j
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:
"My leisure is most enjoyable when I can connect with others"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,674	20.4	28.9	50.7	4.45	1.27
Tillsonburg	12,500	20.6	30.8	48.6	4.23	1.31
Ingersoll	15,458	24.3	21.9	53.8	4.40	1.33
Townships	33,774	18.5	26.6	54.9	4.52	1.21
County Overall	102,406	20.4	27.3	52.3	4.44	1.27

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71k
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:
"My leisure contributes to my emotional wellbeing"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,616	6.0	12.9	81.0	5.17	1.20
Tillsonburg	12,425	4.1	9.6	86.3	5.26	0.96
Ingersoll	15,309	5.6	14.9	79.5	5.12	1.12
Townships	33,774	3.8	13.4	82.8	5.18	0.99
County Overall	102,124	5.0	13.0	82.0	5.18	1.09

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 71
Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:

"My leisure helps me to stay healthy"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,553	5.7	13.9	80.4	5.25	1.22
Tillsonburg	12,501	7.6	18.1	74.3	5.03	1.12
Ingersoll	15,193	5.9	21.8	72.3	4.93	1.19
Townships	33,653	6.6	16.5	76.9	5.04	1.02
County Overall	101,900	6.3	16.5	77.3	5.11	1.15

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 72
Oxford County Residents' Perceptions of Their Leisure Experience:
Rank Order of Aspects of Their Leisure Experience^a

Aspects of Leisure Experience	n	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
My leisure contributes to my emotional wellbeing	108,824	5.18	1.09
My leisure helps me to stay healthy	109,377	5.11	1.14
My leisure helps relieve stress	109,256	5.09	1.10
My leisure helps me to relax	109,136	5.07	1.05
My leisure provides me with opportunities for social interaction with others	109,285	4.68	1.13
I participate in leisure that restores me physically	109,315	4.60	1.24
My leisure provides opportunities to try new things	108,915	4.54	1.09
I participate in leisure that develops my physical fitness	109,118	4.54	1.30
My leisure helps me to learn about myself	109,286	4.50	1.10
My leisure is most enjoyable when I can connect with others	109,150	4.47	1.27
My leisure helps me to learn about other people	109,198	4.33	1.20
My leisure has helped me to develop close relationships with others	108,824	4.28	1.28

Notes: ^a Aspects in rank order based on mean scores of agreement that it is a benefit of the leisure experience.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of agreement.

Table 73 – Summary
Dimensions of Leisure Experience
Summary Statistics

Dimension ^a	County Overall	Municipality ^b			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Relaxation	5.10 (0.95)	5.09 (0.99)	5.15 (0.90)	5.11 (1.09)	5.07 (0.83)
Physiological	4.74 (1.10)	4.79 (1.15)	4.66 (1.17)	4.65 (1.14)	4.76 (0.97)
Social	4.45 (1.01)	4.51 (0.95)	4.33 (1.11)	4.43 (1.07)	4.43 (1.01)
Educational	4.44 (0.89)	4.50 (0.91)	4.37 (0.96)	4.45 (0.95)	4.38 (0.80)
Overall Leisure Experience	4.68 (0.81)	4.73 (0.82)	4.63 (0.83)	4.66 (0.92)	4.66 (0.75)

Notes: ^a *Relaxation* – leisure contributes to relaxation, stress relief and emotional wellbeing.

Physiological – leisure contributes to physical fitness and health.

Social – leisure facilitates social connections and the development and/or maintenance of social bonds.

Educational – leisure allows opportunities to learn new things, learn about different people, or try new things.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher agreement that dimension is important part of leisure experience. Mean score shown above with the standard deviation below in parentheses.

Education



Participation in Educational Opportunities

Table 74
Residents' Reasons for Taking Formal Education Courses in the Past Year
to Help Individuals Get Started in a Current or New Job

Reasons for taking formal education course(s)	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
To help one get started in a current or a new job	14.3	13.4	12.5	14.2	16.2
To improve one's skills in a current job	24.8	25.0	22.4	21.6	26.9
To prepare one for a job one might do in the future	21.6	22.8	17.0	26.1	19.8
To lead directly to a qualification related to a current job	17.8	17.7	16.0	14.0	20.3
Total	101,549	39,984	12,454	15,228	33,883

Table 75
Residents' Who Have Taken Courses for Interest Either in the Community or Online in the Past Year

Municipality	n	Pct.
Woodstock	40,645	19.6
Tillsonburg	12,501	21.5
Ingersoll	15,272	28.1
Townships	33,883	17.0
County Overall	102,301	20.2

Table 76
Residents' Who Have Attended Conference or Workshops for Extended Learning in the Past Year

Municipality	n	Pct.
Woodstock	40,719	33.2
Tillsonburg	12,501	23.2
Ingersoll	15,273	31.7
Townships	33,883	36.8
County Overall	102,376	33.0

Table 77
Residents' Frequency of Using Podcasts, Social Media Sites, and/or Public Lectures
to Continue to Learn New Things

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Some- times	Regularly	Very often	All the time
Woodstock	40,721	10.1	34.2	17.6	17.9	20.1
Tillsonburg	12,500	20.6	28.4	17.0	15.6	18.4
Ingersoll	15,273	9.4	38.0	26.2	13.2	13.1
Townships	33,697	12.0	36.4	25.0	13.3	13.3
County Overall	102,191	11.9	34.8	21.3	15.4	16.6

Perceptions of Educational Opportunities

Table 78a
Residents' Perceptions of Opportunities for Formal Education and Courses of Interest:

"There are plenty of opportunities to take formal education courses"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,555	26.8	34.8	38.4	4.10	1.27
Tillsonburg	12,350	35.7	42.7	21.6	3.53	1.31
Ingersoll	15,292	28.7	38.2	33.1	3.98	1.21
Townships	33,624	33.4	38.0	28.6	3.80	1.21
County Overall	101,821	30.4	37.3	32.3	3.92	1.26

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 78b
Residents' *Perceptions of Opportunities* for Formal Education and Courses of Interest:

"There are plenty of opportunities to take courses of interest"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,434	25.2	34.8	39.9	4.12	1.21
Tillsonburg	12,350	32.4	34.3	33.3	3.84	1.22
Ingersoll	15,292	25.7	33.4	40.9	4.15	1.20
Townships	33,624	34.4	31.6	34.0	3.87	1.24
County Overall	101,700	29.2	33.5	37.3	4.01	1.23

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 78c
Residents' *Perceptions of Opportunities* for Formal Education and Courses of Interest:

"I would take courses, but they are too expensive"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,207	24.8	35.4	39.9	4.35	1.33
Tillsonburg	12,137	24.2	39.7	36.1	4.21	1.29
Ingersoll	15,236	26.7	38.1	35.1	4.11	1.40
Townships	33,624	39.7	35.8	24.5	3.82	1.32
County Overall	101,204	30.0	36.4	33.6	4.12	1.35

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 78d
Residents' *Perceptions of Opportunities* for Formal Education and Courses of Interest:

"There are places nearby where I can take courses out of interest"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,555	18.3	40.3	41.4	4.25	1.13
Tillsonburg	12,350	30.2	38.9	30.8	3.87	1.10
Ingersoll	15,292	17.9	39.9	42.3	4.26	1.09
Townships	33,579	33.3	32.4	34.4	3.85	1.34
County Overall	101,776	24.6	37.5	37.9	4.07	1.21

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 78e
Residents' *Perceptions of Opportunities* for Formal Education and Courses of Interest:

"There are schools nearby where I can upgrade my educational qualifications"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,163	21.2	34.7	44.1	4.27	1.35
Tillsonburg	11,938	36.7	46.1	17.2	3.58	1.12
Ingersoll	15,189	23.9	41.2	34.9	4.05	1.19
Townships	33,372	29.4	32.9	37.7	3.99	1.43
County Overall	100,662	26.2	36.4	37.4	4.06	1.35

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 78f
Residents' *Perceptions of Opportunities* for Formal Education and Courses of Interest:
"I would take courses, but they are offered at inconvenient times"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,207	22.2	53.1	24.7	4.00	1.12
Tillsonburg	12,154	23.0	51.2	25.8	4.05	1.13
Ingersoll	15,188	16.3	58.7	25.0	4.11	0.89
Townships	33,549	26.2	60.1	13.7	3.78	0.99
County Overall	101,098	22.7	56.1	21.2	3.95	1.05

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Living Standards



Working for Pay and Its Characteristics

Table 79
Residents Who Work for Pay^a

Working for pay	n	Pct.
Woodstock	40,795	66.4
Tillsonburg	12,501	43.7
Ingersoll	14,951	68.7
Townships	33,939	68.1
County Overall	102,186	64.5

Note: ^a Subsequent Tables 80 through 87 includes only those residents who indicated that they worked for pay.

Table 80
Number of **Different** Paid Jobs (full- or part-time) Held by Residents

Number of different jobs for pay	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
1 job	75.5	75.1	78.6	85.0	71.0
2 jobs	21.1	21.7	20.3	12.9	24.5
3 or more jobs	3.3	3.3	1.1	2.1	4.5
Total	66,338	26,984	5,462	10,777	23,115

Table 81
Residents' Weekly Hours Spent Working for Pay on **Main Job**

Hours on main job per week	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Fewer than 25 hours	18.2	18.8	11.9	25.1	15.8
25 to 34 hours	7.2	5.6	6.0	9.9	8.3
35 to 44 hours	53.3	55.2	63.9	51.2	49.5
45 to 54 hours	13.1	14.3	14.4	9.0	13.2
55 or more hours	8.1	6.0	3.8	4.7	13.3
Total	66,087	26,843	5,461	10,714	23,069

Table 82
Residents' Weekly Hours Spent Working for Pay on **Other Job(s)**^a

Hours on other jobs per week	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Fewer than 10 hours	49.6	50.3	57.1	65.7	44.0
10 to 20 hours	38.9	35.1	38.0	30.6	45.0
21 to 35 hours	10.1	11.3	5.0	3.7	11.1
More than 35 hours	1.4	3.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	17,270	7,302	1,130	1,659	7,179

Note: ^a Includes only those residents who reported working at more than one job.

Table 83
Residents who Work for Pay with Flexible Work Hours

Have flexible work hours ^a	n	Pct.
Woodstock	26,927	41.5
Tillsonburg	5,462	26.7
Ingersoll	10,777	37.0
Townships	23,060	46.2
County Overall	66,226	41.2

Note: ^a Employees with flexible hours who work the full number of hours required by the employer, but have some control over the time their workday begins and ends.

Table 84
Usual Work Schedule of Residents

Work schedule	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Regular daytime, Monday to Friday	69.7	70.0	68.4	67.8	70.4
Shift schedule ^a	16.2	14.5	21.2	22.0	14.5
Irregular schedule ^b	14.1	15.5	10.3	10.2	15.2
Total	65,591	26,972	5,400	10,206	23,013

Notes: ^a Includes evenings, nights, and/or rotating shifts throughout the week.

^b Includes irregular shifts, on call, compressed work weeks.

Table 85a
Residents Workday Commute from Home to Workplace for Main Job (minutes per day)^a

Commute Time	County Overall	Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Time in minutes	19.49 (16.71)	20.20 (20.93)	14.66 (20.10)	20.92 (20.45)	19.19 (16.71)
Total	65,530	26,834	5,462	10,221	23,012

Note: ^a Mean commute time in minutes with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Table 85b
Residents Workday Commute from Home to Workplace for Main Job (minutes per day grouped)

Commute Time	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
15 minutes or less	54.8	58.4	75.0	46.9	49.3
16 to 30 minutes	24.0	13.9	14.6	32.4	34.4
31 to 45 minutes	15.6	19.2	2.8	18.7	13.1
46 to 60 minutes	3.3	6.0	4.2	0.0	1.5
More than an hour	2.2	2.5	3.4	2.0	1.6
Total	65,530	26,834	5,462	10,221	23,013

Perceptions of Job Fit and Security

Table 86a
Residents' Perceptions of Job Fit and Security:

"I have little hope for promotion at my job"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	26,984	32.6	19.8	47.7	4.42	1.72
Tillsonburg	5,462	33.4	24.0	42.6	4.48	1.67
Ingersoll	10,776	22.8	21.1	56.1	4.51	1.48
Townships	23,054	34.9	23.1	41.9	4.20	1.60
County Overall	66,276	31.9	21.5	46.6	4.36	1.64

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 86b
Residents' Perceptions of Job Fit and Security:

"My current occupational position adequately reflects my education and training"

Municipality	N	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	27,029	23.3	5.9	70.8	4.73	1.78
Tillsonburg	5,463	36.7	5.6	57.7	4.50	1.84
Ingersoll	10,730	23.6	17.8	58.6	4.59	1.46
Townships	23,055	21.0	5.5	73.5	4.93	1.55
County Overall	66,277	23.6	7.7	68.7	4.76	1.67

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 86c
Residents' Perceptions of Job Fit and Security:

"Considering all my efforts and achievements, my opportunities at work are adequate"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	26,936	22.8	13.5	63.7	4.45	1.53
Tillsonburg	5,462	28.0	23.1	48.9	4.29	1.44
Ingersoll	10,731	13.4	29.3	57.3	4.59	1.21
Townships	23,054	11.9	11.7	76.4	5.06	1.22
County Overall	66,183	17.9	16.2	65.8	4.67	1.40

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 86d
Residents' Perceptions of Job Fit and Security:

"I have experienced or I expect to experience an undesirable change in my work situation"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	26,983	42.3	24.3	33.4	3.86	1.56
Tillsonburg	5,462	41.4	24.2	34.4	3.78	1.82
Ingersoll	10,564	33.9	26.8	39.3	4.01	1.47
Townships	23,054	49.5	26.2	24.2	3.55	1.50
County Overall	66,063	43.4	25.4	31.2	3.77	1.56

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 86e
Residents' Perceptions of Job Fit and Security:

"Considering all my efforts and achievements, my salary/income is adequate"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	27,029	37.8	7.4	54.8	4.15	1.65
Tillsonburg	5,461	52.2	12.0	35.8	3.49	1.67
Ingersoll	10,730	28.6	19.1	52.4	4.29	1.37
Townships	23,054	25.5	10.8	63.7	4.57	1.42
County Overall	66,274	33.2	10.9	55.9	4.26	1.56

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 86f
Residents' Perceptions of Job Fit and Security:

"My job security is poor"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	26,982	60.5	15.8	23.7	3.29	1.62
Tillsonburg	5,462	68.2	14.0	17.9	2.88	1.59
Ingersoll	10,730	52.7	31.2	16.0	3.47	1.48
Townships	23,054	71.0	14.3	14.7	3.04	1.42
County Overall	66,228	63.5	17.6	18.8	3.20	1.54

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 87
Dimensions of Job Fit and Security:
Summary Statistics

Dimension ^a	County Overall	Municipality ^b			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Job Security	4.51 (1.34)	4.43 (1.360)	4.67 (1.52)	4.24 (1.31)	4.71 (1.26)
Job Promotion	4.33 (1.15)	4.23 (1.27)	3.95 (1.17)	4.24 (0.98)	4.59 (1.02)
Overall Job Fit	4.42 (1.08)	4.33 (1.16)	4.31 (1.22)	4.24 (0.96)	4.65 (0.97)

Notes: ^a *Job security* is comprised of perceptions of job security and experience of an undesirable change in work situation. *Job promotion* is comprised of questions related to opportunities for promotion, to use one's training/education, recognition of effort, and appropriate remuneration.
^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect higher agreement that job security and promotion are better.

Work-Life Balance

Table 88a
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"My personal life suffers because of work"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,380	45.7	16.2	38.1	3.91	1.62
Tillsonburg	12,050	41.4	25.9	32.7	3.74	1.77
Ingersoll	15,142	49.3	14.2	36.5	3.68	1.67
Townships	33,029	48.2	18.8	33.0	3.70	1.50
County Overall	99,601	46.5	18.0	35.5	3.79	1.61

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88b
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"My job makes a personal life difficult"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,422	50.2	18.3	31.5	3.69	1.58
Tillsonburg	11,974	45.5	30.0	24.5	3.52	1.65
Ingersoll	14,978	51.7	19.8	28.6	3.56	1.58
Townships	32,983	55.8	17.3	26.9	3.51	1.46
County Overall	98,357	51.7	19.6	28.6	3.59	1.55

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88c
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"I neglect personal needs because of work"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,006	44.7	14.9	40.4	3.92	1.63
Tillsonburg	11,975	41.4	26.8	31.8	3.70	1.67
Ingersoll	15,022	50.1	16.9	33.1	3.57	1.73
Townships	32,982	47.6	14.9	37.5	3.72	1.53
County Overall	98,985	46.1	16.6	37.3	3.77	1.63

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88d
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"I put my personal life on hold for work"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,901	45.9	14.9	39.1	3.84	1.60
Tillsonburg	11,929	38.7	27.7	33.6	3.76	1.68
Ingersoll	15,022	49.2	18.8	32.0	3.60	1.69
Townships	32,879	49.7	17.2	33.0	3.66	1.50
County Overall	98,731	46.8	17.8	35.4	3.73	1.60

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88e
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"I struggle to juggle work and non-work activities"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,932	42.5	20.0	37.5	3.90	1.63
Tillsonburg	11,928	44.2	23.2	32.6	3.65	1.64
Ingersoll	15,067	42.7	23.6	33.7	3.71	1.72
Townships	32,937	46.9	17.0	36.1	3.77	1.52
County Overall	98,864	44.2	19.9	35.9	3.80	1.61

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88f
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"I am happy with the amount of time for non-work activities"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,034	41.3	18.0	40.6	3.90	1.42
Tillsonburg	11,975	40.2	25.7	34.1	3.78	1.66
Ingersoll	14,974	30.4	31.0	38.6	4.01	1.44
Townships	33,087	35.8	18.8	45.4	4.12	1.41
County Overall	99,070	37.7	21.2	41.1	3.97	1.46

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88g
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"My personal life drains me of energy for work"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,781	68.2	16.5	15.3	3.06	1.42
Tillsonburg	11,975	67.8	25.5	6.7	2.85	1.31
Ingersoll	14,964	61.8	18.1	20.1	3.12	1.46
Townships	33,088	72.1	18.7	9.2	3.02	1.12
County Overall	98,808	68.5	18.6	12.9	3.03	1.32

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88h
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"I am too tired to be effective at work"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,929	61.9	19.6	18.4	3.23	1.40
Tillsonburg	11,928	60.9	26.5	12.6	3.06	1.44
Ingersoll	15,020	59.7	23.6	16.6	3.19	1.36
Townships	33,013	74.3	15.1	10.6	3.02	1.25
County Overall	98,890	65.6	19.5	14.8	3.13	1.35

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88i
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"My work suffers because of my personal life"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,763	77.2	16.5	6.3	2.74	1.27
Tillsonburg	11,928	69.4	22.2	8.4	2.72	1.44
Ingersoll	14,964	63.8	27.8	8.4	2.92	1.36
Townships	32,832	77.3	15.7	7.0	2.80	1.18
County Overall	98,487	74.3	18.7	7.1	2.79	1.28

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88j
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"It is hard to work because of personal matters"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,959	63.7	18.0	18.3	3.12	1.55
Tillsonburg	11,974	60.3	23.3	16.4	3.02	1.63
Ingersoll	15,020	62.6	22.2	15.1	3.13	1.45
Townships	32,862	74.2	15.2	10.5	2.87	1.35
County Overall	98,815	66.6	18.3	15.0	3.03	1.49

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88k
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"My personal life gives me energy for my job"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,753	29.9	30.6	39.5	4.03	1.34
Tillsonburg	11,928	25.3	43.9	30.9	3.97	1.35
Ingersoll	15,020	26.4	39.3	34.3	4.04	1.36
Townships	32,832	23.8	33.5	42.7	4.14	1.22
County Overall	98,533	26.8	34.5	38.7	4.06	1.31

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88l
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"I am in a better mood at work because of my personal life"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,883	27.0	29.2	43.8	4.13	1.42
Tillsonburg	11,928	23.8	40.0	36.3	4.07	1.32
Ingersoll	14,929	20.4	39.1	40.5	4.18	1.32
Townships	32,786	20.0	32.9	47.1	4.29	1.27
County Overall	98,526	23.3	33.2	43.5	4.18	1.34

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 88m
Residents' Reactions to Work:

"I am in a better mood generally because of my job"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,659	36.1	33.8	30.1	3.72	1.42
Tillsonburg	11,929	33.2	42.3	24.5	3.67	1.42
Ingersoll	14,974	36.7	32.3	31.0	3.82	1.53
Townships	32,563	33.1	33.6	33.2	3.86	1.32
County Overall	98,125	34.9	34.6	30.6	3.77	1.41

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Disagree*; 4 = *Neutral/unsure*; and 5 to 7 = *Agree*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 89
Dimensions of Work-Life Balance
Summary Statistics

Dimension ^a	County Overall	Municipality ^b			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Work/personal life enhancement	3.99 (1.15)	4.04 (1.16)	4.10 (1.20)	3.99 (1.22)	3.90 (1.08)
Work interference with personal life	3.79 (1.37)	3.90 (1.38)	3.77 (1.47)	3.68 (1.47)	3.71 (1.27)
Personal life interference with work	2.99 (1.17)	3.03 (1.18)	2.91 (1.33)	3.09 (1.20)	2.93 (1.06)
Overall work-life balance	3.59 (0.84)	3.66 (0.82)	3.60 (0.86)	3.59 (0.87)	3.52 (0.83)

Notes: ^a *Work/personal life enhancement* refers to the positive transfer of mood and energy between work and personal domains.
Work interference with personal life refers to difficulties re: detrimental influence of work on personal needs, time, energy, relationships.
Personal life interference with work refers to difficulties performing job requirements because of personal matters.

^b Based on a 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater degree of work-life *imbalance*.

Experiences of Financial Insecurity

Table 90a
Residents' Experiences of *Financial Insecurity* During the Past Year:
"I could not pay my bills on time (e.g., water, hydro, phone, credit card)"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Once in past year	At least once every 6 months	At least once every 3 months	At least once a month
Woodstock	38,879	70.0	11.0	4.9	8.7	5.3
Tillsonburg	11,884	81.0	3.1	4.4	6.8	4.6
Ingersoll	13,348	73.8	6.0	4.9	9.4	5.9
Townships	32,078	82.2	7.7	3.9	2.7	3.5
County Overall	96,189	76.0	8.2	4.5	6.6	4.7

Table 90b
Residents' Experiences of *Financial Insecurity* During the Past Year:

"I could not pay my mortgage or rent on time"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Once in past year	At least once every 6 months	At least once every 3 months	At least once a month
Woodstock	35,272	88.1	4.5	3.8	2.8	0.8
Tillsonburg	10,823	87.7	5.6	2.4	2.8	1.5
Ingersoll	13,166	84.5	4.1	2.3	6.3	2.8
Townships	29,593	93.6	3.0	0.9	1.0	1.6
County Overall	88,854	89.3	4.1	2.4	2.7	1.4

Table 90c
Residents' Experiences of *Financial Insecurity* During the Past Year:

"I ate less because there was not enough food or money for food"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Once in past year	At least once every 6 months	At least once every 3 months	At least once a month
Woodstock	38,862	70.7	3.8	5.9	4.6	15.0
Tillsonburg	11,806	73.8	4.0	4.8	5.7	11.8
Ingersoll	12,671	72.0	3.7	4.2	2.5	17.6
Townships	31,481	85.8	2.8	2.7	3.5	5.2
County Overall	94,820	76.3	3.5	4.5	4.1	11.7

Table 90d
Residents' Experiences of *Financial Insecurity* During the Past Year:

"I could not afford to purchase nutritious foods (e.g., fresh vegetables, whole foods)"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Once in past year	At least once every 6 months	At least once every 3 months	At least once a month
Woodstock	37,955	65.4	4.4	7.3	6.9	16.1
Tillsonburg	11,593	67.9	1.8	6.8	7.6	15.9
Ingersoll	12,757	65.0	6.6	3.3	5.6	19.5
Townships	31,151	81.2	4.0	2.2	4.2	8.5
County Overall	93,456	70.9	4.3	5.0	5.9	14.0

Table 90e
Residents' Experiences of *Financial Insecurity* During the Past Year:
"I used a local food bank"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Once in past year	At least once every 6 months	At least once every 3 months	At least once a month
Woodstock	38,842	91.3	3.0	0.4	2.7	2.6
Tillsonburg	11,778	96.4	0.4	0.5	0.4	2.3
Ingersoll	13,431	85.0	5.1	1.7	2.1	6.1
Townships	31,310	97.6	0.8	0.3	0.8	0.5
County Overall	95,361	93.1	2.2	0.6	1.7	2.4

Table 90f
Residents' Experiences of *Financial Insecurity* During the Past Year:
"I had to rely on emergency housing or financial housing assistance for accommodation"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Once in past year	At least once every 6 months	At least once every 3 months	At least once a month
Woodstock	37,711	93.2	2.9	0.0	0.0	3.9
Tillsonburg	11,698	96.1	0.0	1.8	0.0	2.2
Ingersoll	12,875	95.7	0.3	0.0	3.9	0.0
Townships	31,117	97.5	0.8	0.0	0.0	1.8
County Overall	93,401	95.3	1.5	0.2	0.5	2.4

Table 90g
Residents' Experiences of *Financial Insecurity* During the Past Year:
"I could not afford to pay for transportation to get to where I needed to go"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Once in past year	At least once every 6 months	At least once every 3 months	At least once a month
Woodstock	38,886	84.0	4.0	3.1	2.8	6.2
Tillsonburg	11,371	87.0	2.5	2.9	2.3	5.3
Ingersoll	13,135	82.8	3.2	2.6	2.1	9.4
Townships	31,431	92.8	3.6	1.0	0.4	2.2
County Overall	94,823	87.1	3.6	2.3	1.8	5.2

Table 90h
Residents' Experiences of *Financial Insecurity* During the Past Year:

"I did not have enough money to buy the things I WANTED"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Once in past year	At least once every 6 months	At least once every 3 months	At least once a month
Woodstock	38,702	45.9	7.1	9.2	8.8	29.0
Tillsonburg	12,004	52.5	3.5	10.2	7.5	26.4
Ingersoll	13,328	39.2	8.2	5.2	10.5	37.0
Townships	31,049	55.0	9.9	10.0	5.9	19.3
County Overall	95,803	48.7	7.7	9.0	7.9	26.6

Table 90i
Residents' Experiences of *Financial Insecurity* During the Past Year:

"I did not have enough money to buy the things I NEEDED"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents				
		Never	Once in past year	At least once every 6 months	At least once every 3 months	At least once a month
Woodstock	39,476	64.9	6.9	5.4	7.4	15.5
Tillsonburg	12,016	70.0	4.0	5.8	5.2	14.9
Ingersoll	13,205	65.8	5.8	5.7	7.8	14.8
Townships	31,821	81.5	6.2	4.0	3.1	5.3
County Overall	96,518	71.1	6.1	5.0	5.8	12.0

Table 91
Frequency of Financial Insecurity Experiences by Oxford County Residents:
Rank Order of Financial Insecurity Experiences^a

Financial Insecurity Experience	n	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Did not have enough money to buy the things I wanted	101,132	2.55	1.72
Could not afford to purchase nutritious foods	99,312	1.87	1.49
Did not have enough money to buy the things I needed	102,522	1.81	1.42
Ate less because there was not enough food/money for food	100,766	1.71	1.39
Could not pay my bills on time (e.g., water, hydro, phone)	102,094	1.56	1.14
Could not afford to pay for transportation	100,825	1.35	1.01
Could not pay my mortgage or rent on time	94,335	1.22	0.74
Used a local food bank	101,424	1.19	0.75
Had to rely on emergency housing/financial housing assistance	98,907	1.13	0.66

Notes: ^a Financial insecurity experiences in rank order based on mean ranks of frequency of occurrence in past year (5-point index).

Housing Security

Table 92
Percentage of Monthly Income Spent on Housing

Percentage of Income Spent on Housing	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Less than 30%	59.0	50.4	64.0	60.2	67.2
30 to 50%	28.9	33.1	17.1	29.9	27.7
More than 50%	12.0	16.5	18.9	9.9	5.1
Total	98,748	39,657	11,901	14,275	32,915

Table 93
Residents Who Own or Rent the Residence in Which They are Currently Living

Nature of Residency	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Own	69.0	58.7	73.2	68.7	79.8
Rent	24.9	36.2	25.0	22.0	12.5
Other	6.2	5.2	1.8	9.3	7.7
Total	101,645	40,556	12,501	14,756	33,832

Note: ^a Among respondents who reported an “other” type of accommodation, living with parents or living with other family members were the most common responses.

Satisfaction with Current Place of Residence

Table 94a
Residents’ Satisfaction with Selected Aspects of Their Current Residence:

“Having enough space overall in your home”

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,574	27.8	33.9	38.3	3.89	1.19
Tillsonburg	12,501	19.7	34.8	45.5	4.04	1.19
Ingersoll	14,904	18.6	37.4	44.1	4.12	1.02
Townships	33,878	13.7	31.3	55.0	4.31	0.96
County Overall	101,857	20.8	33.6	45.6	4.08	1.11

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Dissatisfied*; 3 = *Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied*; and 4 and 5 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 94b
Residents' Satisfaction with Selected Aspects of Their Current Residence:

"Being affordable"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,257	44.6	35.9	19.6	3.35	1.28
Tillsonburg	12,500	35.6	34.8	29.5	3.75	1.11
Ingersoll	14,740	39.4	31.6	29.0	3.61	1.22
Townships	33,877	29.7	33.2	37.1	3.88	1.16
County Overall	101,374	37.7	34.2	28.0	3.61	1.23

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Dissatisfied*; 3 = *Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied*; and 4 and 5 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 94c
Residents' Satisfaction with Selected Aspects of Their Current Residence:

"Its condition"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,447	32.7	40.2	27.1	3.68	1.19
Tillsonburg	12,500	28.9	41.1	30.0	3.78	1.17
Ingersoll	14,903	29.0	41.0	29.9	3.80	1.13
Townships	33,878	21.4	40.3	38.3	4.03	1.01
County Overall	101,728	27.9	40.5	31.6	3.83	1.13

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Dissatisfied*; 3 = *Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied*; and 4 and 5 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 94d
Residents' Satisfaction with Selected Aspects of Their Current Residence:

"Being able to stay long term"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,464	25.0	38.6	36.4	3.93	1.12
Tillsonburg	12,500	19.1	43.9	37.0	4.08	0.94
Ingersoll	14,637	19.9	43.2	36.9	3.97	1.16
Townships	33,774	17.5	36.2	46.2	4.17	1.01
County Overall	101,375	21.0	39.1	39.8	4.04	1.08

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Dissatisfied*; 3 = *Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied*; and 4 and 5 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 94e
Residents' Satisfaction with Selected Aspects of Their Current Residence:

"Being accessible to someone with a physical impairment"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,447	70.2	19.0	10.8	2.97	1.13
Tillsonburg	12,350	64.3	23.8	11.9	3.07	1.14
Ingersoll	14,650	74.7	15.5	9.9/	2.96	1.06
Townships	33,879	66.8	22.1	11.1	3.11	1.05
County Overall	101,326	69.0	20.1	10.9	3.03	1.10

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Dissatisfied*; 3 = *Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied*; and 4 and 5 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 94f
Residents' Satisfaction with Selected Aspects of Their Current Residence:

"Being safe and secure within the home"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,527	23.4	40.6	36.0	3.95	1.09
Tillsonburg	12,501	21.1	41.7	37.2	4.00	1.05
Ingersoll	14,857	16.3	40.8	42.9	4.21	0.85
Townships	33,878	10.5	39.9	49.5	4.34	0.80
County Overall	101,763	17.8	40.6	41.6	4.13	0.98

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Dissatisfied*; 3 = *Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied*; and 4 and 5 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 94g
Residents' Satisfaction with Selected Aspects of Their Current Residence:

"Being able to maintain a comfortable temperature in every season"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,509	21.8	41.8	36.4	3.96	1.11
Tillsonburg	12,397	20.5	34.8	44.6	4.02	1.18
Ingersoll	14,858	21.5	43.9	34.5	3.99	1.02
Townships	33,878	22.3	32.5	45.1	4.06	1.10
County Overall	101,642	21.8	38.2	40.0	4.01	1.10

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Dissatisfied*; 3 = *Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied*; and 4 and 5 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 95
Oxford County Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Aspects of Their Current Residence:
Rank Order of Aspects of Their Residence^a

Housing Aspect	N	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
Being safe and secure within the home	108,790	4.12	0.98
Having enough space overall in your home	108,928	4.07	1.12
Being able to stay long term	108,402	4.03	1.07
Being able to maintain a comfortable temperature in every season	108,714	4.00	1.10
Its condition	108,801	3.83	1.13
Being affordable	108,160	3.61	1.23
Being accessible to someone with a physical impairment	108,397	3.04	1.09

Notes: ^a Housing aspects in rank order based on mean scores of satisfaction.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 96
Overall Satisfaction with Current Housing
Summary Statistics

Overall Housing Satisfaction ^a	County Overall	Municipality ^b			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Overall satisfaction	3.82 (0.82)	3.68 (0.88)	3.82 (0.85)	3.81 (0.79)	3.99 (0.72)
Total	101,820	40,854	12,501	14,858	33,878

Notes: ^a Overall satisfaction with current housing based on average across all aspects of current place of residence.

^b Based on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater degree of satisfaction with current housing.

Table 97
Residents with Access to the Internet from Home

Internet Access at Home	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Have access	98.9	98.9	100.0	97.5	99.2
Total	101,739	40,527	12,444	14,951	33,817

Table 98
Residents' Perceived Overall Quality of Internet Service at Home

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents					Summary ^a	
		Poor	Fair	Good	Very good	Excellent	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,957	1.7	9.5	26.2	37.6	25.0	3.75	0.99
Tillsonburg	12,501	5.3	5.6	32.0	36.8	20.2	3.61	1.04
Ingersoll	15,088	2.5	7.3	37.3	36.2	16.7	3.57	0.94
Townships	33,609	6.0	18.7	26.3	30.1	18.8	3.37	1.16
County Overall	101,155	3.7	11.8	28.6	34.8	21.1	3.58	1.06

^a Based on a 5-point scale where higher scores reflect higher perceived quality of internet service

Time Use



Time Providing Support to Others

Table 99a
Residents Providing Unpaid Care Older or Dependent Adult(s)

Unpaid care to an older or dependent adult	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Residents providing care	18.3	17.9	18.0	13.7	20.9
Total	102,273	40,584	12,501	15,249	33,939

Table 99b
Place of Residence of Older or Dependent Adult(s) Receiving Unpaid Help from Residents

Location of older/dependent adult receiving unpaid help	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality ^a			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
In resident's own home	40.8	39.7	40.7	38.6	42.6
In another location ^b	59.2	60.3	59.3	61.4	57.4
Total	18,248	7,052	2,207	2,095	6,894

Notes: ^a Only those individuals who are providing unpaid help to an older or dependent adult (see Table 99a) are included.

^b Other locations include retirement home and long-term care facility.

Table 100
Residents Providing Unpaid Care to a Child
(not including one's own children)

Unpaid care to a child	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Residents providing care	8.4	6.6	6.0	9.9	10.8
Total	102,392	40,631	12,501	15,458	33,802

Table 101
Residents Who Feel Families Have Access to Adequate Supply of Childcare Services

Families have adequate access to childcare services	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Have adequate access	34.8	33.0	37.9	29.7	38.0
Total	102,421	40,631	12,500	15,412	33,878

Time Pressure and Time Adequacy

Table 102
Residents' Perceived Frequency of Feeling Rushed

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents					
		Never	Less than once a month	About once a month	About once a week	A few times a week	Every day
Woodstock	40,481	10.5	9.9	11.4	15.5	35.1	17.6
Tillsonburg	12,321	11.0	16.0	12.3	14.8	25.6	20.3
Ingersoll	15,413	9.2	8.7	9.3	15.6	27.4	29.9
Townships	33,803	8.0	12.5	11.1	21.7	28.3	18.4
County Overall	102,018	9.5	11.3	11.1	17.5	30.5	20.0

Table 103
Residents' Perceived Frequency of Feeling They have Time on Their Hands That they do not Know What to do With

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents					
		Never	Less than once a month	About once a month	About once a week	A few times a week	Every day
Woodstock	40,255	31.0	17.3	11.8	17.9	13.2	8.8
Tillsonburg	12,351	39.8	16.0	10.0	14.7	13.9	5.6
Ingersoll	15,412	30.8	21.2	11.1	15.5	16.7	4.7
Townships	33,639	35.0	19.0	10.8	19.8	11.2	4.1
County Overall	101,657	33.3	18.3	11.2	17.8	13.1	6.2

Perceptions of Time Adequacy

Table 104a
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to get enough sleep/rest"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,096	24.7	13.6	61.7	6.78	3.17
Tillsonburg	11,896	26.3	13.9	59.8	6.87	3.09
Ingersoll	14,901	29.0	18.2	52.8	6.52	3.13
Townships	33,442	16.1	11.7	72.2	7.58	2.80
County Overall	100,335	22.6	13.7	63.7	7.02	3.06

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.

^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104b
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to be yourself"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,213	26.2	18.0	55.8	6.68	2.97
Tillsonburg	11,546	18.3	13.6	68.1	7.38	2.91
Ingersoll	14,824	27.8	20.2	52.0	6.60	2.96
Townships	33,063	16.2	16.9	66.9	7.52	2.71
County Overall	98,646	22.2	17.5	60.4	7.03	2.91

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.

^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104c
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to socialize"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,462	33.2	17.0	49.8	6.07	2.93
Tillsonburg	11,670	24.7	17.7	57.6	6.77	3.04
Ingersoll	14,879	31.4	19.3	49.3	6.10	2.88
Townships	32,654	22.8	23.8	53.3	6.74	2.83
County Overall	97,665	28.4	19.7	51.8	6.39	2.92

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.
^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104d
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to keep in shape"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,850	32.0	19.0	49.0	6.15	2.94
Tillsonburg	11,550	28.5	18.7	52.8	6.47	3.12
Ingersoll	13,989	37.8	19.6	42.6	6.07	2.92
Townships	32,649	28.3	21.8	49.9	6.42	2.89
County Overall	97,038	31.2	20.0	48.8	6.27	2.95

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.
^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104e
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to prepare or eat healthy meals"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,407	27.7	19.7	52.6	6.44	2.88
Tillsonburg	11,793	19.4	18.7	61.8	7.06	2.97
Ingersoll	14,766	23.5	29.6	46.9	6.50	2.58
Townships	32,925	19.4	24.3	56.3	6.88	2.66
County Overall	98,891	23.3	22.6	54.1	6.67	2.79

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.
^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104f
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to participate in or be active in the community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	36,961	38.1	24.5	37.4	5.57	2.92
Tillsonburg	10,776	36.0	13.9	50.1	5.97	3.26
Ingersoll	14,091	37.4	29.3	33.3	5.48	2.83
Townships	30,524	29.7	26.8	43.5	6.00	2.88
County Overall	92,352	35.0	24.7	40.3	5.75	2.94

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.
^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104g
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to nurture your *spiritual* side"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	29,639	30.8	23.2	46.0	6.04	3.01
Tillsonburg	9,082	32.8	11.5	55.8	6.43	3.17
Ingersoll	11,012	29.0	17.4	53.6	6.39	2.90
Townships	25,955	28.4	20.5	51.2	6.40	3.07
County Overall	75,688	29.9	20.0	50.0	6.26	3.04

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.
^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104h
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to nurture your *creative* side"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	36,114	35.2	18.9	45.9	5.91	2.90
Tillsonburg	11,010	33.7	15.1	51.1	6.19	3.16
Ingersoll	13,423	40.1	24.8	35.1	5.59	2.79
Townships	30,832	33.0	22.8	44.2	6.08	2.89
County Overall	91,379	35.0	20.6	44.4	5.95	2.92

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.
^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104i
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to complete chores or errands"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,823	24.4	21.4	54.2	6.52	2.70
Tillsonburg	12,084	31.3	11.0	57.8	6.60	3.25
Ingersoll	14,810	25.6	25.5	48.9	6.29	2.65
Townships	33,487	22.6	23.2	54.2	6.61	2.66
County Overall	100,204	24.8	21.4	53.8	6.52	2.75

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.

^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104j
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to be with the children you live with"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	15,193	22.6	27.4	49.9	6.38	2.72
Tillsonburg	3,722	39.4	19.6	41.0	5.43	3.01
Ingersoll	8,153	29.4	21.0	49.6	6.36	2.66
Townships	13,960	25.6	15.9	58.5	6.63	2.97
County Overall	41,028	26.5	21.5	52.0	6.37	2.84

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.

^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104k
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to be with your partner or spouse"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	30,085	23.4	17.1	59.5	6.81	2.98
Tillsonburg	9,574	28.3	8.8	62.9	7.02	3.11
Ingersoll	10,735	27.7	24.9	47.5	6.30	2.98
Townships	28,244	22.9	18.2	58.9	6.90	2.79
County Overall	78,638	24.4	17.6	58.1	6.80	2.94

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.

^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104l
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:
"There is time to be together as a family"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	36,180	25.3	23.3	51.4	6.44	2.81
Tillsonburg	11,162	28.0	18.7	53.3	6.31	3.09
Ingersoll	13,996	23.6	25.4	51.1	6.27	2.70
Townships	32,640	24.3	21.0	54.7	6.53	2.71
County Overall	93,978	25.0	22.3	52.7	6.43	2.80

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.
^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 104m
Residents' Perceptions of Time Adequacy:

"There is time to form and sustain serious relationships"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not enough	Neutral	Enough	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	34,422	31.9	18.5	49.6	6.06	2.94
Tillsonburg	10,312	25.6	15.4	59.1	6.88	3.14
Ingersoll	12,673	25.5	26.6	47.9	6.29	2.86
Townships	29,430	29.8	19.8	50.4	6.38	2.87
County Overall	86,837	29.5	19.8	50.8	6.30	2.94

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 4 = *Not enough*; 5 and 6 = *Neutral*; and 7 to 10 = *Enough*.
^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect residents' perception that they have more adequate amounts of time.

Table 105
Oxford County Residents' Feelings of Time Adequacy for Other Activities:
Rank Order of Activities for which Time is Felt to be Adequate^a

Activity	n	Mean ^b	Std. Dev.
There is enough time for you to be yourself	105,597	7.09	2.89
There is enough time for you to get enough sleep/rest	107,361	7.07	3.04
There is enough time for you to be with your partner or spouse	83,077	6.81	2.93
There is enough time for you to prepare or eat healthy meals	105,964	6.71	2.77
There is enough time for you to complete chores or errands	106,880	6.57	2.74
There is enough time for you to be together with your family	100,146	6.47	2.79
There is enough time for you to socialize	104,615	6.42	2.90
There is enough time for you to be with the children you live with	43,143	6.41	2.83
There is enough time for you to form and sustain serious relationships	91,772	6.34	2.93
There is enough time for you to nurture your spiritual side	81,116	6.32	3.03
There is enough time for you to keep in shape	103,866	6.28	2.93
There is enough time for you to nurture your creative side	97,650	6.00	2.92
There is enough time for you to participate in or be active in the community	99,211	5.78	2.923

Notes: ^a Activities in rank order based on mean scores of feelings of having adequate time.
^b Based on the original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect feelings of more time adequacy.

Table 106
Overall Perception of Time Adequacy
Summary Statistics^a

Time Adequacy	County Overall	Municipality ^b			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Perceived time adequacy	6.46 (2.48)	6.32 (2.51)	6.66 (2.76)	6.15 (2.36)	6.69 (2.37)
Total	95,478	37,743	11,120	14,179	32,436

Notes: ^a Mean scores with standard deviation below in parentheses.
^b Based on original 10-point scale where higher scores reflect more adequate amounts of time perceived by residents.
Overall mean score based on combining responses to all items in scale.

Table 107a
Residents' Average Hours of Sleep per Day (including naps)

Amount of Sleep	County Overall	Municipality ^a			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Hours per day	6.88 (1.22)	6.80 (1.21)	6.67 (1.28)	6.87 (1.23)	7.05 (1.19)
Total	101,846	40,419	12,303	15,246	33,878

Notes: ^a Mean scores with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Table 107b
Residents' Average Hours of Sleep per Day (hours per day grouped)

Amount of Sleep	Pct. in County	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Under 6 hours	10.6	12.4	16.1	10.8	6.4
About 6 hours	27.5	29.1	26.7	29.9	24.9
About 7 hours	31.2	30.0	32.9	28.6	33.1
About 8 hours	24.3	22.8	19.7	23.4	28.2
More than 8 hours	6.4	5.7	4.5	7.4	7.5
Total	101,843	40,418	12,302	15,246	33,877

Note: ^a Seven to eight hours of quality sleep is recommended.

Taking a Vacation

Table 108
Residents Who Were Able to Take a Vacation in the Past Year^a

Vacation in Past Year	County Overall	Percentage in Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Did take a vacation	68.1	61.4	67.5	63.8	78.3
Total	101,937	40,584	12,500	15,142	33,711

Note: ^a A vacation is defined as a trip over 80km away from home that included at least one overnight stay.

Table 109
Total Number of Days Residents Spent Away on Vacation in Past Year

Vacation Days Away	County Overall	Municipality ^a			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Days away on vacation	8.81 (12.15)	7.82 (8.10)	10.72 (20.38)	7.64 (5.75)	9.72 (13.46)
Total	67,776	24,325	7,993	9,549	25,900

Note: ^a Only those residents who reported taking a vacation in the past year (see Table 108) are included.

^b Mean number of days away shown with standard deviation below in parentheses.

Table 110a
Residents' Perceptions Concerning Their Most Recent Vacation:

"My vacations help me to relax"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	24,948	26.5	38.3	35.2	3.93	1.06
Tillsonburg	8,359	24.9	40.2	35.0	3.89	1.14
Ingersoll	9,937	23.2	48.6	28.2	3.98	0.88
Townships	26,351	17.0	51.2	31.8	4.08	0.83
County Overall	69,595	22.2	44.9	32.9	3.99	0.97

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Disagree*; 3 = *Neutral*; and 4 and 5 = *Agree*.

^b Based on original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 110b
Residents' Perceptions Concerning Their Most Recent Vacation:

"My vacations provide opportunities to try new things"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	24,948	20.3	45.4	34.3	4.04	0.92
Tillsonburg	8,359	15.5	51.5	33.0	4.05	0.96
Ingersoll	9,937	25.9	46.7	27.4	3.89	0.97
Townships	26,546	19.7	54.5	25.7	3.92	0.96
County Overall	69,790	20.3	49.8	29.9	3.98	0.95

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Disagree*; 3 = *Neutral*; and 4 and 5 = *Agree*.

^b Based on original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 110c
Residents' Perceptions Concerning Their Most Recent Vacation:
"My vacations help me to develop close relationships with others"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	24,826	26.7	45.4	27.9	3.86	1.02
Tillsonburg	8,358	32.6	43.0	24.4	3.69	1.13
Ingersoll	9,938	31.6	49.0	19.3	3.66	1.08
Townships	26,546	25.4	56.3	18.2	3.81	0.91
County Overall	69,668	27.6	49.8	22.6	3.79	1.00

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Disagree*; 3 = *Neutral*; and 4 and 5 = *Agree*.
^b Based on original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 110d
Residents' Perceptions Concerning Their Most Recent Vacation:
"My vacations give me a sense of accomplishment"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	24,902	33.7	37.7	28.6	3.75	1.10
Tillsonburg	8,284	32.5	43.3	24.2	3.74	1.05
Ingersoll	9,937	41.8	38.0	20.2	3.59	1.06
Townships	26,490	36.4	46.9	16.7	3.69	0.90
County Overall	69,614	35.8	41.9	22.3	3.70	1.02

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 and 2 = *Disagree*; 3 = *Neutral*; and 4 and 5 = *Agree*.
^b Based on original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.

Table 111
Overall Perception of Vacation Experience
Summary Statistics^a

Vacation Experience	County Overall	Municipality ^b			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Overall vacation experience	3.87 (0.74)	3.90 (0.80)	3.84 (0.87)	3.78 (0.79)	3.87 (0.59)
Total	69,791	24,949	8,358	9,937	26,546

Notes: ^a Mean scores with standard deviation below in parentheses.
^b Based on original 5-point scale where higher scores reflect greater agreement.
Overall mean score based on combining responses to four items in scale.

Overall Wellbeing

Satisfaction with Aspects of Wellbeing (based on CIW Framework and its domains)

Table 112a
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"My mental wellbeing"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,556	35.7	14.6	49.7	4.26	1.76
Tillsonburg	12,425	27.1	18.9	54.0	4.61	1.84
Ingersoll	15,356	35.1	18.5	46.4	4.19	1.67
Townships	33,878	28.2	13.2	58.6	4.60	1.71
County Overall	102,215	32.1	15.3	52.7	4.41	1.75

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112b
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"My physical wellbeing"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,556	39.3	19.7	40.9	4.01	1.61
Tillsonburg	12,425	34.5	22.5	42.9	4.05	1.65
Ingersoll	15,356	45.5	21.7	32.8	3.75	1.57
Townships	33,879	36.7	17.4	46.0	4.13	1.62
County Overall	102,216	38.8	19.6	41.6	4.02	1.62

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112c
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"My leisure time"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,376	30.0	23.9	46.1	4.36	1.63
Tillsonburg	12,425	26.6	16.0	57.4	4.65	1.78
Ingersoll	15,412	31.2	26.3	42.5	4.24	1.70
Townships	33,832	27.5	21.8	50.7	4.48	1.63
County Overall	102,045	28.9	22.6	48.4	4.42	1.66

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112d
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"My sense of belonging to this community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,330	39.2	22.6	38.2	3.88	1.63
Tillsonburg	12,426	32.5	22.1	45.4	4.18	1.85
Ingersoll	15,413	31.4	25.4	43.2	4.13	1.56
Townships	33,816	30.3	22.5	47.2	4.31	1.60
County Overall	101,985	34.3	22.9	42.8	4.10	1.65

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112e
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"My personal relationships"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,301	24.2	17.9	57.9	4.67	1.60
Tillsonburg	12,425	22.2	12.0	65.7	4.99	1.74
Ingersoll	15,412	17.8	19.4	62.8	4.75	1.48
Townships	33,728	18.1	18.3	63.6	4.89	1.61
County Overall	101,886	21.0	17.5	61.5	4.79	1.61

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112f
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:
"My access to educational opportunities in the community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,880	31.6	30.5	37.9	4.04	1.42
Tillsonburg	12,126	41.5	28.6	29.9	3.64	1.61
Ingersoll	15,246	27.7	36.7	35.6	4.07	1.35
Townships	33,567	28.6	40.0	31.4	4.07	1.44
County Overall	100,819	31.2	34.3	34.4	4.01	1.44

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112g
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:
"The balance of activities in my daily life"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,183	35.5	27.6	37.0	3.98	1.55
Tillsonburg	12,276	35.7	17.2	47.1	4.22	1.74
Ingersoll	15,246	34.5	19.7	45.9	4.13	1.53
Townships	33,698	26.5	27.4	46.0	4.41	1.46
County Overall	101,403	32.4	25.1	42.5	4.17	1.55

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112h
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:
"The way I spend my time"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,405	34.5	23.2	42.3	4.06	1.66
Tillsonburg	12,424	25.0	18.3	56.7	4.54	1.75
Ingersoll	15,199	38.5	18.4	43.0	4.10	1.69
Townships	33,877	29.3	19.5	51.2	4.44	1.54
County Overall	101,905				4.25	1.65

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112i
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:
"My access to arts and cultural opportunities in the community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,918	24.9	36.5	38.7	4.26	1.31
Tillsonburg	12,274	31.3	29.8	39.0	4.07	1.60
Ingersoll	15,200	24.5	39.1	36.4	4.22	1.30
Townships	33,680	32.1	30.8	37.1	4.06	1.50
County Overall	101,072				4.16	1.42

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112j
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:
"My access to parks and recreation opportunities in the community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,164	10.3	18.5	71.2	5.18	1.31
Tillsonburg	12,275	17.0	14.5	68.5	4.93	1.70
Ingersoll	15,200	10.5	21.7	67.8	4.98	1.27
Townships	33,698	16.6	19.0	64.4	4.84	1.42
County Overall	101,337	13.2	18.6	68.1	5.01	1.40

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112k
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:
"My neighbourhood as a place to live"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	39,897	19.6	14.8	65.6	4.94	1.66
Tillsonburg	12,127	16.5	11.6	71.9	5.04	1.70
Ingersoll	15,199	14.9	14.7	70.4	5.04	1.56
Townships	33,877	10.1	11.4	78.5	5.37	1.40
County Overall	101,100	15.3	13.3	71.4	5.11	1.58

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.
^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112l
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"The environmental quality of my neighbourhood"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,285	16.0	17.9	66.1	4.96	1.54
Tillsonburg	12,425	13.9	12.0	74.0	5.09	1.44
Ingersoll	15,036	16.8	20.9	62.3	4.77	1.49
Townships	33,756	10.9	14.2	74.8	5.23	1.47
County Overall	101,502	14.2	16.4	69.4	5.04	1.51

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112m
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"The way my local government responds to community needs"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,268	43.7	25.1	31.2	3.58	1.70
Tillsonburg	12,199	37.0	30.3	32.8	3.82	1.60
Ingersoll	15,085	30.8	43.2	25.9	3.76	1.44
Townships	33,802	35.8	28.4	35.8	3.95	1.55
County Overall	101,354	38.3	29.5	32.1	3.76	1.61

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112n
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"How well democracy is working in our community"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,147	41.5	27.0	31.5	3.60	1.66
Tillsonburg	12,350	34.5	31.7	33.8	3.90	1.55
Ingersoll	15,190	35.0	37.1	28.0	3.81	1.45
Townships	33,709	35.9	26.8	37.3	3.96	1.64
County Overall	101,396	37.8	29.0	33.2	3.79	1.62

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112o
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"My financial situation"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,406	41.7	11.8	46.5	3.91	2.02
Tillsonburg	12,350	30.4	16.1	53.5	4.30	1.94
Ingersoll	15,246	41.3	10.3	48.4	4.01	1.99
Townships	33,757	23.8	18.2	58.0	4.72	1.69
County Overall	101,759	34.3	14.2	51.4	4.24	1.94

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 112p
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:

"My work situation"

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	38,838	34.2	13.6	52.3	4.22	1.91
Tillsonburg	11,479	30.3	15.4	54.3	4.62	1.90
Ingersoll	15,033	22.2	27.0	50.7	4.56	1.67
Townships	32,570	22.6	14.6	62.8	4.81	1.72
County Overall	97,920	28.0	16.2	55.8	4.52	1.83

Notes: ^a Percentages based on combining responses 1 to 3 = *Dissatisfied*; 4 = *Neutral*; and 5 to 7 = *Satisfied*.

^b Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 113
Oxford County Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Various Aspects of Wellbeing:
Rank Order of Aspects of Wellbeing^a

Aspect of Wellbeing	Domain ^b	n	Mean ^c	Std. Dev.
My neighbourhood as a place to live	ENV	101,100	5.11	1.58
The environmental quality of my neighbourhood	ENV	101,501	5.04	1.51
My access to parks and recreational opportunities in the community	LC	101,336	5.01	1.40
My personal relationships	CV	101,866	4.79	1.61
My work situation	LS	97,920	4.52	1.83
My leisure time	LC	102,046	4.42	1.66
My mental wellbeing	HP	102,215	4.41	1.75
The way I spend my time	TU	101,907	4.25	1.65
My financial situation	LS	101,759	4.24	1.94
The balance of activities in my daily life	TU	101,403	4.17	1.55
My access to arts and cultural opportunities in the community	LC	101,073	4.16	1.42
My sense of belonging to this community	CV	101,983	4.10	1.65
My physical wellbeing	HP	102,215	4.02	1.62
My access to educational opportunities in the community	ED	100,818	4.01	1.44
How well democracy is working in our community	DE	101,395	3.79	1.62
The way my local government responds to community needs	DE	101,355	3.76	1.61

Notes: ^a Aspects of wellbeing in rank order based on mean scores of satisfaction.

^b CV = Community Vitality; DE = Democratic Engagement; ED = Education; ENV = Environment; HP = Healthy Populations; LC = Leisure and Culture; LS = Living Standards; TU = Time Use.

^c Based on the original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater levels of satisfaction.

Table 114
Rank Order of CIW Domains of Wellbeing based on CIW Framework

Wellbeing Domain	County Overall	Municipality			
		Woodstock	Tillsonburg	Ingersoll	Townships
Environment	5.08 (1.46)	4.95 (1.51)	5.07 (1.52)	4.91 (1.47)	5.30 (1.35)
Leisure and Culture	4.53 (1.19)	4.60 (1.13)	4.55 (1.42)	4.49 (1.17)	4.46 (1.17)
Community Vitality	4.45 (1.45)	4.27 (1.46)	4.59 (1.62)	4.44 (1.34)	4.60 (1.41)
Living Standards	4.40 (1.70)	4.10 (1.79)	4.51 (1.75)	4.30 (1.62)	4.78 (1.53)
Time Use	4.21 (1.51)	4.02 (1.54)	4.38 (1.66)	4.11 (1.50)	4.42 (1.42)
Healthy Populations	4.21 (1.52)	4.14 (1.51)	4.33 (1.54)	3.97 (1.52)	4.37 (1.52)
Education	4.01 (1.44)	4.04 (1.42)	3.64 (1.61)	4.07 (1.35)	4.07 (1.43)
Democratic Engagement	3.77 (1.53)	3.59 (1.59)	3.85 (1.49)	3.78 (1.38)	3.95 (1.51)
Overall Wellbeing^b	4.32 (1.16)	4.21 (1.18)	4.35 (1.30)	4.25 (1.09)	4.48 (1.09)

Notes: ^a Based on original 7-point scale where higher scores reflect greater satisfaction with domain of wellbeing. Domains are in rank order based on mean scores for County. Mean score shown above with standard deviation below in parentheses.

^b Overall mean wellbeing score based on combining scores on all eight domains.

Life Satisfaction

(based on OECD measure of subjective wellbeing)

Table 115
Residents' Level of Satisfaction with Life in General

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Dissatisfied	Neutral	Satisfied	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,479	30.0	15.0	55.0	6.10	2.89
Tillsonburg	12,425	17.9	18.0	64.1	6.78	2.67
Ingersoll	15,293	20.4	18.3	61.4	6.63	2.63
Townships	33,758	16.0	13.1	70.9	6.99	2.49
County Overall	101,955	22.4	15.2	62.4	6.56	2.72

Notes: ^a Percentages are based on combining responses where 0 to 4 = *Dissatisfied*, 5 and 6 = *Neutral*, and 7 to 10 = *Satisfied*

^b Based on original 11-point scale where higher scores reflect higher levels of satisfaction with life in general.

Life Being Worthwhile

(based on OECD measure of eudaimonic wellbeing)

Table 116
Residents' Feeling that the Things They Have Done in Life are Worthwhile

Municipality	n	Percentage of Residents ^a			Summary Statistics ^b	
		Not at all	Neutral	Completely	Mean	Std. Dev.
Woodstock	40,555	23.3	21.4	55.3	6.26	2.79
Tillsonburg	12,425	21.6	16.0	62.3	6.77	2.72
Ingersoll	15,247	23.2	16.8	60.0	6.48	2.61
Townships	33,878	17.6	11.5	70.9	7.07	2.52
County Overall	102,105	21.2	16.8	62.0	6.62	2.69

Notes: ^a Percentages are based on combining responses where 0 to 4 = *Not at all*, 5 and 6 = *Neutral*, and 7 to 10 = *Completely*.

^b Based on original 11-point scale where higher scores reflect higher feelings that things done in one's life are worthwhile.



Measuring what matters
Making measures matter

For more information about the Canadian Index of Wellbeing, visit www.ciw.ca
© 2025 Canadian Index of Wellbeing